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## PREFACE.

For several years after his arrival in Java, the principal pursuits of Dr. Horsfield were Botany and Materia Medica, but as numerous insects were constantly occurring to him during his botanical excursions, he was naturally and almost imperceptibly led to the collection of these beautiful and interesting animals. Like most other entomologists he commenced his career in the science by paying attention to Lepidopterous insects, to the collection of which he was the more induced by their great abundance in certain districts, during the latter part of the rainy season. The Coleopterous and other insects, which lave been deposited by him in the East-India Company's Museum, were principally collected towards the end of his residence in the island, which he finally quitted in 1817, on its being ceded to the Dutch.
In the year 1812, or soon after the conquest of Java by the British arms, Dr.Horsfield's original plans were considerably enlarged, in consequence of the liberal patronage which was bestowed on his researches, by the Honourable East-India Company, through the friendly medium of Sir Stamford Raffles, the Licutenant-Governor of the Island. At this time Dr. Horsfield was established in an extensive plain, elevated nearly 200 feet above the level of the ocean, and situated near the middle of the island, in regard both to its length and breadth. This plain is highly fertile, and with very little exception, is in a complete state of culture. The soil is a deep vegetable mould which, near the banks of several large rivers that flow through it, is mixed with sand. Here the colIcction of insects was carried on with zeal and perseverance, not only by Dr. Horsfield limself, but by various native assistants, who had been properly trained to this pursuit. His attention, as may be conceived, soon extended itself to all Annulose animals without exception, and his assistants were accordingly instructed to look for them in every situation, and as far as possible, to leave no place uncxamined. During these researches, therefore, the party bcing provided with all the usual implements of entomological collectors, neglected none of the ordinary resorts of insects, such as flowers, decayed wood, carcases of dead animals, ponds, \&c., and consequently, the collection now in the possession of the East-India Company, may very fiarly be considered as affording a general view of the entomology of the above mentioned plain. When any remarkable deficiency is observed in particular natural groups, we may at least conclude, that such insects are on this plain comparatively very rare. According to Dr. Horsfield's general observation, indeed, thase insects which live ou plants,
shrubs, and trees, are extremely abmentant in Java; while such as in more temperate climates are commonly fom in varions situations near the surface of the earth, are limited to a few families. At the same time, however, it may be well to bear in mind, on regarding the immense proportion of herbivorous insects in the collection, that from the nature of Dr. Horsfield's more immediate pursuits, he was particularly led to collect on plants.

From the plain just mentioned, in which, on account of the extension of agriculture and a numerous population, the variety of vegetable and animal productions is necessarily limited, Dr. Horsfield often made journeys, in different directions, through the more wild and unimhabited parts of the island. Some of these were mondertaken almost exclusively for entomological research, and were particularly directed at the proper seasons to a long range of hills extending parallel to the sonthern coast of the island, and rising to an elevation of 9,000 feet above the level of the ocean.

The base of this range is of a mixed nature; partly calcareous, partly trappean, and the hills are covered with trees and shrubs, although in many places the vegetation is less abundant and luxuriant than in the volcamic district, which constitutes a long series in the centre of the island. The great bulk, however, of the Colcoptera to be described in the following pages, was collected in the southern ranges, or on hills of nearly the same clevation, near the foot of the large volcanos, in the centre of the island. And here may be stated a curious circumstance in entomological geography, observed by Dr. Horsfield, namely, that the temperature which exists from an elevation of 1,000 to that of 2,000 feet above the level of the ocean, is most productive in Coleopterous insects; and, consequently, that this order occurred most abumdantly in the southern and lower central ranges. The Lepidoptera, on the other hand, appeared to be most abundant at an elevation of between 3,000 and 4,000 feet, that is on the declivities of the high volcanic peaks. On such lofty sitnations, the Inxuriance of vegetation greatly exceeds that of the sonthern ranges; and here, at the height of nearly 4,000 feet above the level of the sea, multitudes of the most brilliant and rare Lepidoptera were taken, and from the quantity of larva observed by Dr. Horsfield, he conceives that many more species remain still to be collected.

If the collection can be considered defective, Dr. Horsfield imagines that it is only scanty in such species as may be peculiar to the districts which extend from the immediate confines of the ocean to an elevation of 900 feet. On the sonth coast the hills rise so abruptly from the sea to an elevation of several humdred feet, that probably few species were lost by these shores not having been examined; but along the northern coast of the island, which in many cases is low, and bomded by extensive plains of sand, there possibly remains much to be discovered.

It may therefore be stated in recapitulation,-1st. That this collection affords a general sample of such Coleoptera and Lepidoptera as are to be found in the extensive plain which is situated south of Samarang (one of the principal towns on the northern coast), and which extends from the declivities of the mountain Merapi, in the west of the island, to those of the mountain Lawu, in the east.

2 dly . That in frequent excursions made from the capital Suracarta (which is situated in the plain above-mentioned), towards the eastern and western boundary of the native princes territory, and towards the ranges extending along the southern coast of the island, both Colcoptera and Lepidoptera were carefully sought after, but were almost exclusively collected on plants.

3 dly . That the fertile acclivities of the volcanic series, extending longitudinally through the centre of the island, and covered with a profusion of the most luxuriant vegetation, have afforded a large proportion of the Lepidoptera in the collection; while in the western extremity of the island, which comprises many uncultivated tracts of country highly productive in insects, the opportunities which Dr. Horsfield possessed for collecting were comparatively limited.

From what has now been stated, it must sufficiently appear that this collection is not brought under the notice of the public as a complete one. Many species indeed have lately been described from the continental collections as inhabitants of Java, which will not be found in the following list; and it is possible that many more still remain undescribed in the cabinets of the King of the Netherlands, M.M.Westermann, Reinwardt, \&c. But this much may be said with the strictest truth, namely, that Dr. Horsfield has brought to England so fair a sample of the Entomology of Java, that if it be deficient in several species, it is probably not deficient in many peculiarly new forms. And if so much can be said of the extent of our materials, still more may be promised with respect to their intrinsic value; for Dr. Horsfield will be found to have paid such attention to the metamorphoses of Lepidoptera, as has enabled him to bring to the slores of Europe a more valuable mass of entomological information, than had ever hitherto been collected within the tropics.

Having thus given an outline of the mode and localities in which this valuable collection was made, and some notions with respect to its extent, I may be allowed to say a few words on the plan of descriptive catalogue that has been employed to make thespecies known.

Scarcely one of the many voyages and travels which at present teem from the press, is considered as scientifically ushered into the world, unless it be accompanied by an appendix containing descriptive catalogues of the amimals or plants which may have occurred in the course of the expedition. The nature, use, and proper object
of such catalogues become, therefore, questions not altogether without interest. A descriptive catalogue in Natural History is nothing else than a list of species, accompanied with such descriptions as may be judged sufficient to make these species known ; and it is evident that a number of various catalogues, having very different objects in view, may be drawn up to correspond with the terms of this definition. $A$ catalogue, for instance, may be formed like that of Buffon, with an express contempt of technical nomenclature and a thorough disregard of system; the interest of the work depending wholly on that of the history of the individual species described, and the fecundity of imagination, or floridness of style with which their respective manners are developed. Such is, so far as concerns true science, the least profound, and therefore the most ancient sort of descriptive catalogue; although indubitably it is in certain cases quite sufficient for the purpose of making known the animal intended to be described. Thus, considering the horse merely as a domesticated animal, no scientific description can so eloquently, so admirably depict it as that of Buffon, and yet from such a description, we gain no notion whatever of the place which this noble creature holds in the great plan of creation. For all that we learn by it, there need scarcely be more than two insulated beings in the world, man and the horse. The consequence is, that such catalogues only suit for giving popular accounts of a few of such remarkable plants and vertebrated animals, as are directly connected with the habits of man. They seem to procced, not only on the idea of all design, all order being absent in the creation as a whole, but also as if the infinitely greater part of organized forms need scarcely have been created. It would be absurd, even if it were possible, which it certainly is not, to adopt such a plan of catalogue for the description of insects or shells; for the interest taken by the public in these "Animated Natures," depends either on the number of anecdotes they contain, or upon our having already, in the usual course of life, acquired directly or indirectly some notion of the animals described, and therefore some curiosity to know more of their manners. Such a catalogne, therefore, is truly unscientific; but at the same time, and certainly for this reason it is the most popular of any. To understand it requires no previons açuaintance with Natural History; and to read it, we are told, is all that is necessary for the common purposes of life. True indeed it is, that a horse, a dog, a bee, any animal in fact which is already interesting to us from its immediately affecting the interests of man, may in this way be described, so that every characteristic trait, every particular of their manners shall be detailed: and yet it is easy to prove, that both the reader and writer of such descriptions may remain utterly macquainted with Natmal History as a science. 'They know no more of it, necessarily, than that person knows of astronomy who may have observed the change of seasons, or the difference in the length of days and
nights. Buffon himself, to whom such catalogues owe their chief reputation, was more properly the historian of a few natural objects, than the "Historian of Nature." This, perhaps, to the generality of readers, will appear a bold assertion, when directed against a man so celebrated ; and may indeed startle any person who has been accustomed to allow the following parallel to be correctly drawn. "Limarus saisissoit aver" finesse les traits distinctifs des ánes; Buffon embrassoit d'm coup d'ail les rapports les plus cloigués." But I confess that the truth of this distinction, so indisputable in the eyes of French naturalists, has never yet been apparent to me ; and so far from attributing gencral views of the plan of creation to Buffon, in preference to Linnæus, I do not conceive that the mode in which he studied Natural History, conld ever have led him beyond a well-written "Animal Biograply." It is not indeed asserted, that Buffon was destitute of general notions on the creation ; for this with a man of genius, looking at so divine a work, was impossible: still less is it asserted that he was deficient in the powers of generalizing; but what I mean is, that his ideas of ${ }^{\circ}$ nature were from the fomdation wrong, his mode of studying her works erroneons, and his general conclusions, therefore, almost always false. For the truth of my position, I have only to refer to those parts of his works that touch on what is truly the science of Natural History: as for instance, to take one of the most profound of them, his account of birds that have not the power of flying. All that can be said in favour of the above distinction, is, that if Buffon had an eve for seizing any relations of affinity, they were indeed "les plus éloignés." Leaving, therefore, such a plan as his to those inventive imaginations, those crude theories, and that pompous flowery style, which can alone give it any peculiar interest, the modern writers of Fame or Flore, have invariably been obliged to resort to systematic descriptive catalogues. All of these however may, I conceive, be reduced to two kinds-those which are founded on artificial systems, and those which are grounded, not on any particular artificial systen, but on the endeavour to diseover the natural system. Of the first kind, that is, of those which are drawn up according to the pre-conceived importance of some one or two particular organs, is the justly celebrated Systema Naturer of Limnacus.

We have seen that by such a plan as that of Buffon, it would be impossible to make known the forms of every insect, shell, or moss, that may occur in distant countries, and recourse is therefore had to a systematic cataiogue wnich, by retering to the arrangement of some classical work, such as the "Systema Nature," or the "Regne Amimal," enables the traveller at once to give a name to the object he describes, and the reader to know it by that name. 'The advantage of such a descriptive catalogue is, that to scientific characters and techuical deseriptions, written with the precision of Limens, may thas be subjoined the histories of the rarest animals, written with the
cloquence of a Buffon. While, therefore, it records the manners and economy of such beings as most directly affect our habits of life, it also admits that of which an unscientific catalogue is incapable; namely, the means of making the lowest animalcule or lichen of a distant country, nearly as well known to us in point of form, as a horse or an oak. A systematic descriptive catalogue, founded on an artificial system, is indeed very convenient for the description of newly discovered animals, when the principal object in view is the possibility of their being useful or injuriots to us in the course of life. Those fire-side travellers who limit their researches in Natural History to such points, as being acquainted with the forms of the cereal plants used by the peaceful Hindoos, or with those of the animals eaten by the savages of the Polar regions, require nothing more than this species of catalogue; and so far all may be right. But if we descend to the description of minute mosses or insects on this plan, it is difficult not to imagine that our leisure hours might have been better employed. Unless it be for killing time, it is difficult to conceive what possible purpose it can serve, to name and describe some thousands of minute insects and shells, which we may never see but in the cabinet of a collector. Certain insects, indeed, may attract a portion of attention on account of the uses to which they may be applied by man, or the injuries which they may inflict on him. Thus the cochineal iusect of America, or the destructive locust of Africa, may excite some share of interest in the general reader of an entomological systematic catalogue; but these are only drops in a vast ocean of species, and the writer of such a catalogne, founded on an artificial system, must, when he has done his best, content himself with the credit of having enabled some virtuoso to give barbarous names to a few dried beetles.

If, however, a descriptive catalogue can be formed, not resting on the preconceived importance of any particular organ or organs, but, on the memner in which the whole animal structure varies, and having, therefore, for its object the discovery of the general plan of creation, it is obvious that the lowest insect or polype derives importance from this object. Organized nature is a complicated chain of beings, of which chain each species forms a link. Every new species added to our list, serves thus to increase our knowledge of this stupendous system, -a system that ought to excite in every breast the most intense interest ; not merely as one of the works of our Creator, but as that particular work of the Divine Hand, which has been designed with direct reference to ourselves. A minute beetle of Java, therefore, which of itself scarcely raises a thought in our minds beyond what may origimate in its splendour of colour, or its eccentricity of form, becomes absolutely important when described in reference to its fellows. Not, indeed, that with respect to the particular fact itself, the world need care much to know that some tribes of beetles are constructed on a plan beautiful and regular beyond measure : but when, in consequence of this knowledge, a similar beauty and
regularity are detected in other branches of the organized creation, even in that with which we ourselves are immediately connected, and the presumption thus arises that they extend throughout nature; then at least ought naturalists to attend to this delightful field for discovery, and by mone ought it to be despised. Those who take up the subject in this light, will even excuse the entomologist for making insects the particular object of his study, in preference to the other branches of nature. Entomologists inceed, when their researches are properly directed, may truly say with the poet, -
" In tenui labor, at tenuis non gloria."
For it is among insects, above all other groupes of animals, that, owing to their myriads of species, the mode in which nature's chain is linked-a mode, the knowledge of which comprizes all knowledge in Natural iiistory-will be most evident, and therefore most easily detected. Nay, with a view to the discovery of the natural system, a local descriptive catalogue of insects, arranged according to their natural affinities, is more useful than a descriptive catalogne of Verlebrata on the same plan; and this, because the comparative pancity of vertebrated species in a given place will render such a catalogne infinitely more disjoined, than any similar list of Annulose amimals ever can be.

It is obvious also, that such a catalogue may contain vivid descriptions of such animals as of themselves are interesting to mankind, while it admits of even more scientific precision than the most copions of those which are founded on artificial systems. The very stuation of an animal in a catalogne, which is arranged correctly according to natural affinities, may point out a thousand particulars, both of its economy and structure, that could never have been arrived at by the most elaborate description.

The sole disadvantage attending this sort of catalogue is, that it ceases to be a dictionary of nomenclature, to which a perfect tyro in entomology may, with certainty, resort for the name of any insect he has collected. And, undoubtedly, if a person be unacquainted with the Linnetu genera of insects, I fear that he will not be able to make much use of the following observations; but if, on the other liand, he should know these genera, he can, in my opinion, have little difficulty in comprehending every thing here stated.

I am not aware that any local descriptive catalogue of insects has ever yet been attempted, with reference to the discovery of a natural arrangement, umless, perhaps, it be the admirable Monographia amm Anglia; but even the plan of this work had only reference to a few Hymenoptera, and consequently, was inapplicable to other insects, and much more so to all other animals. The reader will, therefore, take into consideration the difficulties I encounter in commencing a catalogue of insects, on a plan of investi-
gating Affinities and Analogies which is conceived to be applicable to the whole of organizedmatter. The most comprehensive view that, in this world at least, man can ever take of nature, must be but a glimpse of the reality, and must, consequently, be always susceptible of infinite improvement. As yet, moreover, we have not even arrived at the threshold of nature's temple; so that I shall have attained the utmost I can hope for, if I should be found to have made a nearer approach to it, than had ever yet been made in the same branch of entomology. The attention of naturalists in different countries, and in widely different departments of Natural History, having lately been turned towards the laws which regulate the distribution of organized nature, and their works in general being easily referred to, I shall not in this place enter into the theory. The staunch partizans of Linnæus, however,-those who account the Systema Naturee to be Nature's system, -will not be displeased to fimd, that in the following pages the Linnean genera of Coleoptera, even those which, by Fabricius and Latreille, were most widely broken asmender, now again become groupes, and this merely by following the filum ariadneum of affinities, and certainly withont any remarkable partiality on my part to the learned Swede's character as an entomologist. It cannot, however, be denied, that almost in every case his genera are nataral groupes, although he erred in making them all of the same rank, and appears to have had no idea whatever of the manner in which they are connected.

I have only now farther to observe, that it shall be my earnest endeavour to render this work useful to persons resident in the Indian Archipelago, not merely by enabling them to know the species they may meet with, and so to commence a science which may eventually prove an agreeable source of amusement; but by informing them of the circumstances to which they ought to pay most attention, and thms making their labours tend to the development of the plan of creation.

My next and principal endeavour shall be not only to render the Javanese species of Amulosa known to European collectors, but to shew the places which they respectively occupy in the scale of created being. In the meamwhile let the young naturalist bear in mind, that it is not the ready ability to give a name to an object, which ought to be considered the grand, the ulterior aim, the "ultimus fims" of his observations, but, as Limmeus says, the discovery of the natural system ; and of this the meanest atom that lives, the Monas itself, may perhaps form a link as necessary towards our proper comprehension of the whole, as any other animal, however large, or however intelligent.

# ANNULOSA JAVANICA. 

## INTRODUCTION.

As this Work is to be conducted with as much reference as possible to those general prineiples of natural distribution which I have laid before the Public, both in the Hore Entomologice and the Transactions of the Limean Society, the reader may easily perceive that there will be some novelty in the arrangement, as well as in the matter arranged. In abandoning, however, that division of Coleoptera which is founded on the number of joints in the tarsi, and which has acquired so much vogue on the Continent, it may be necessary to shew that I am countenanced by some authority. I shall, for this purpose, therefore, content myself with citing the following words of M. Latreille: that is, of the distinguished naturalist to whom the Tarsel System owes much more of its celebrity than to its inventor. "Articulorm tarsorum progressio numerica decrescens in methodo nuturali non admittende."-(Gen. Crust. et lns. vol. i. p. 172.)

It will also be seen that I commence with the Alephagons Coleoptera, not indeed because they form a particularly rich part of the Hon. East-India Company's collection, and still less from any notion of the Limean genus Cicindela having a peculiar title to this pre-eminence, but because they constitute that department of the science which at present most engages the attention of Continental Entomologists. In the course of this investigation I shall have several new genera, or rather sul,genera, to propose, of which the eharacters in some cases must necessarily rest on refined, and even minute considerations. Now, as the object I have in view is to make known in a definite manner all the species that may be new, I camothope to carry this my intention into execution without aldopting some of those delicate distinctions, which result from the mode of investigation that has lately been pursued by M. Bonelli, in his sturly of these insects. I have, indeed, little choice to make : for 1 must either expose myself to a charge very frequently at present brought against Entomologists-mamely, that they disgust persons with the science by the multitute of names with which they load it ; or 1 must display unpardonable ignorance of the many excellent observations which could never have been discovered, nor can now be explained, without such a mode of discrimination being resorted to. When, therefore, I venture to ald to the already orerwhelming number of subgencrat into which the Limean genus Carabus has been divided, I have to state in excuse, that this course of proceeding is adopted from the conviction that it is impossible to assign some of the new Javanese forms to any of those genera, which MM. Dejean and Bonelli lave almost entirely foumded on the examination of European
insects. If, in short, new subgeneria are here made, it is becanse otherwise I shond have had either to refer all the new damanese insects to Emropean sulgenera, with the characters of wheh they do not agree; or to assign them to targe groupes, where the Entomolugist wonld have had to seareh for them among some hmodreds of species, and at last have conded his toil with complete uncertainty as to their identification.

If my subgenera were in every case natural, or if I could in every case display their true place in the series of aflinity, I should as little think of offering an apology for mimuteness of investigation, as my readers wonld expect it. In that event, a sufficient answer wonld be, that certain affinities were pointed out by such minute discrimination, while the resulting series was natural ; but this I am sorry to say cannot be pretended in every case, and particularly in that of one of the families into which the Limenn Carabus shall here be divided. Consequently the new subgenera of this family, viz. the Harpalide, must reat their stability first on their own merits, as serving to make new forms definitely known; and secondly, on the little ralne of every argument that has hitherto been used to prove the minuteness of modern Entomological genera. Indeed, on this last head I camot refrain from calling the reader's attention to a few curious facts, which will serve to illustrate an argment that has already been ably sustained by Mr. Spence, in his monograph on the gemns Choleve.
There is nothing which makes the fertility of design that has characterized the Creation so incontestably evident, as the variation of structure that sometimes prevails in groupes of in inferior rank, such as genera or families. It is indeed manifest, that if a grouple like the Vertelirata be of a primary degrec, and the mmber of species it contains be nevertheless small, then the divisions will be more decided and more casily seized than if the number of species were great. But if the groupe be not of a primary nature like the Linnean genus Caralons, and yet the number of species contained in it be great, then the difficulties of distribution are angmented, owing to the number as well as to the minuteness of the differences to be seized. Aud yet it is such difficult ground that we ought in a particular manner to cultivate, if we wish to attain a true knowledge of nature; and this remark uruly deserves attention from those who object to that delicacy of research which has characterized the tabours of MM. Clairville, Bonelli, and Dejean, among the Harpalide. The distimetions of these Entomologists are, it is trme, often minute; but when we observe that the groupes characterized by such distinctions contain twenty, thirty, sometimes more than a hundred species, we necessarily say that, for the sake of convenience alone, it were to be wished that even these groupes, minute as they are, could be subdivided. But while this delicacy of discrimination is useful for the artificial purposes of nomenclature, it becomes indispensably necessary in the study of affinities. More than 1600 species of the Limem gemes Carabus have, for instance, come within my own knowledge. Now, supposing a new speries to occur, which indeed happens every day, what definite idea of its structure or affinities can possibly be obtained by a person who refers it to a groupe of 160 beings of so many rarions forms? And if these 1600 species compose but one genus, as they do according to Limens, what person can be fomed with either time or inclination to identify the specifie name of one of them ? lndeed, this ciremmstance of itself has rendered the identity of many species of Limmens, and eren of Fabricins, quite uncertain. For example: "Caralus alutus ater nitidus, clytris strintis antemais mfis" (Fub. Syst. Eleuth. vol. i. p. I89) is a description that will apply to hundreds of insects, of
structure, economy, and appearance all totally different from each other. On this account, therefore, Clairville and Bonelli merit the greatest praise for the assiduity and perseverance they have shewn in the study of the Hanmlide. Their labours, however, soon gave rise to the complaint that every species was thus becoming a genus, and that confusion instead of order was thus arising from their imovations. This complaint, inded, has gradually died away nunong Entomolugists; but it has, in consequence, become a charge levelled gencrally against Entomology, hy certain persons who are ignorant of the present state of the scicnce. The genus Carabus of Limmeus has, above all others, given rise to such charges ; and it must therefore not a little surprise these erities to know, that after all the various mutilations which the genns Curatus of Bonelli has undergone, it appears in the collection of M. Dejean, whose catalogue, be it remembered, is very far from being extensive in extra-European insects, to contain about twice as many species as Limmens has described of his gems Carabus. In the 13 th edition of his Systemat Nature, the latter describes only forty-three of his gemes Caralus, which is a groupe of four motern families; whereas Barm Dejean's collection contains eighty-three species of the modern genus Carabus; and I know of about forty more. No genus can rest on more refined considerations than the genus Harpahes, as it at present stands; yet Dejean's catalogue contains nincty-two species, of which sixty-thre are European. On looking at this catalogne, we find that the average mumber of species Baron Dejean possesses in each of hi-eighty-six genera made out of the Linncan gemns Caralus, is ten; that is, the same mumber which Persoon, in lis last edition of the Synopsis, describes in each of his 2280 generat of plants; and yet, as Decanlolle has well observed, in the Thénric Elémentaire de la Botanique (p. 222), Persoon has in reatity fewer genera, in proportion to the mmber of plants he knew, han Linmeus; for while the former assigns ten species to each of 2280 genera, the latter mathralist only allows six species at anerage to each of 1260 genera. So that if 1500 species of Limean Carabus exist in collections, we may double the mumber of published subgencra, and yet allow fewer subgenera, in proportion to the number of species we know, than Limnens did of genera in that portion of nature with which he was best acquainted. So much for the observation that every species is now at gems in Entomology, 一an observation that hat had its origin entirely in the inadequate idea generally prevailing as to the number of annulose specics which exist. We every day hear of the diffienty of natural history having increased, and doubtless it is increasing every hour: but this is owing to the number of new species which are pouring in mon us. Still a great advantage has acerned to the science from the angmentation of one collections; for if the study of natual allhities was formerly impossible, it has now come within the reach of every person who does not allow himself to be frightened by the multetude of names which necessarily crowd the page of the best modern works on natural history. Names, after all, are only formidable when marshalled in an index; and the difliculty they present to the young naturalist not only vanishes when it is encounterel, but soon is foum to be his best aid, in combating difficultics of infinitely greater importance.

With respect to my general distribution of 'lairville's Adephagu, I have little more to say, than that it is a sketch of natural atfinitios which the reader of the Horce Entomologice will find to illustrate certain questions there left in doubt. And if 1 have not been able to adopt that exposinom of these insects which has hately been given to the public by my frichds MA. Latreitle
and Dejean, it has at least been as closely attended to as I judged cither consistent with nature or convenient for use.*

Some of the new subgenera here proposed being founded on external characters, it may be necessary to premise, that where the specimen was unique or very rare in the collection, I had not, of course, the permission to dissect it. I hope, therefore, that this circumstance will be taken particularly into consideration, by those who may have occasion to refer to the following descriptions, which I shall now procced with.

* I have not, for instance, thought it advisabie to lay so much stress on the form of the external joint of the palpi as these gentlemen have done. The validity of my reasons for differing from them in this respect may be judged on a perusal of P. i. p. 4, Horx Entomologice. As to the general distribution of M. Latreille, it is confessed by himself to be artifieial, and therefore I need offer no apology for abandoniug it.


## COLEOPTERA

An attempt has been made in the Horce Entomologice to shew that if we gradually limit our views, and descend from the consideration of the kingdom Animalia to the department or subkingtom Amulosa, from this again to the class Mandibulata, and then to the order Coleoptera, thus leaving each groupe for one of its component minor groupes, we shall at length observe the last-mentioned, viz. the order Coleoptere, to resolve itself into five minor groupes, which I have termed tribes. Now one of these tribes consists of insects having Chilopodiform larve; that is, their larve are carnivorous, having their head furnished with ocelli and strong mandibles, generally pierced for suction. Their body is subdepressed, composed of angular, or at least of laterally incontinuous segments, of which all, or at least a certain portion, are cach coveicd with a corncous lamina. Some one of the hinder segments of the body (in gencral the penultimate or last) is moreover always furnished with at least two styliform appendages, which are sometimes corncous, sometimes membranaceous, and sometimes articulated. From this general resemblance of the larve to young Chilopoda, the tribe may be termed

## CHILOPODOMORPHA.

## Character Typicus.

Larva chilopodomorpha plerumque carnivora, corpore processubus duolnes posticis styliformibus dorsalibns semper instructo.

Imago plerumque pentamera, mandibulis corneis, maxillis bipurtitis vel processubus duobus; laciniá interiori in unguem cornetm incurvom fere semper desinente; lacinia exteriore sapius biarticulata interdum palpiformi.

I have elsewhere shown that nature appears to have varied less in the structure of the maxille than in any other part of the mouth of Coleoptera, and have consequently inferred that the Entomologist ought to pay particular attention to the form of the maxillæ in the perfect insect. In the tribe having Chilopodiform larve, we have a remarkable example of the truth of this reasoning, for a particular modification of that form of maxille which is general to this tribe caused the carnivorous insects, or Adephaga of Clairville, to be carly separated from all other Coleoptera by a most anomalons character, viz. that of having six palpi. When Savigny, however, reduced to one general structure the month of all winged insects, it followed as an immediate consequence, that Coleoptera do not differ so much among themselves as that two or three families should have four maxillary palpi and all the rest only two. We find, accordingly, that a more philosophical view of the subject did not fiill to be taken by M. Latreille, as soon as he had weighed with due consideration the theory of M. Savigny.* For instance, the maxillie of Coleoptera may be deseribed generally as being composed of several pieces which are often entirely confluent, and generally so far confluent as to form one mass; the interior palpi (as they are called) of adephagous insects forming almost the only known execption to the rule. But even
in this case the proper view of the maxilie is, that they terminate in two lobes, generally ciliated, and often conflnent, the extermal lobe being in its typical state connected with the internal lobe by an articulation, and the internal lobe being terminated by an unguis. Of this typical maxilla Passalus afforls a good example;* and among the P'ctalocera, we find it distinguishable in the whole family of Geotrupide. We have an example of the confluence of the maxillary picces, that is, of a complete departure from the typical maxilla, in Agus; and, indeed, the Thaterophagons Petalocera in gencral, but particularly the Anoplognathide, exhibit little or no traces of the above typical structure of the maxilla.
The Maxilla of such Coleoptera as have Chilopodiform larvæ, possesses, however, a peculiar character, which may be considered as typical in reference to the groupe. The external lobe is not only connected with the internal by an articnlation, but itself consists of two pieces. Sometimes, as in the Linncan genera Cicindela, Carabus, Dytiseus, and Gyrimus, this biarticulate external process of the maxilla is slender and cylindrical, and consequently palpiform, occasioning these genera to be chartacterized as having four maxillary palpi. Sometimes, as in the Limean genera Mydrous $\uparrow$ and Staphylinus, $\ddagger$ this biarticulate process is diated and not palpiform. Sometimes again, as in certain species of the Linnean gemus Silpha, the two pieces which form the external lobe of the maxilla are confluent or soldered together, although the typical structure remains visible, or the onter piece is converted into a penicilliform lacinia, adapted to the particular economy of the insect.
The tribe of Chilopodomorpha is divisible as follows, into five stirpes i.e. races; or, which is the same thing, into two groupes; one of which contains two stirpes, and is typical of the tribe; while the other contains three stirpes, more immediately conducting to other tribes, and which may therefore be termed aberrant.§

Stirpes. Exempla typica.

That this tribe is a naturat groupe, sufficiently appears from the above series of five stipes returning into itself, and forming as it were a circle. Thus, from the Geodephaga, or generas Cicindela and Carabus of Limneus, we pass by means of Omophron to the Mydradephuga, or genera Gyrimus, and Dyticus of Linnaws. From these again we pass to the Limean genus Hydrous, which, until his entomological eareer was nemrly over, the great Swode confonnded always with Dyticus. Part of the Philhydrida, such as the modern genus Elophorus, Was by Limmeus

[^0]Linnæus placed in his genus Silpha, to which, without doubt, Elophorus approaches by some of the less typical insects of that groupe, which M. Latreille, in the Gcnera Insectorum, has named Necrophaga. From the Necrophaga we pass by means of Micropeplus to Staphylimus,* and then Lesteva (the Carabus staplaylinoides of the Entomologia Britamica) will serve to conduct us back again to the Terrestrial Adephaga.

I now proceed to the cousideration of that normal groupe of the Chilopodomorpha, which is the same with the

## COLEOPTERA ADEPHAGA of Clairville and Latreille.

## Character Essentialis.

Maxillce lobo interno ungniculato, mgue interdum articulo inserto; lobo externo palpifmomi sapissime hiarticulato, qui de causí sex palpos apud Adephaga quidam emmcrant.

The Adephaga of Clairville compose one of those dichotomons groupes which M. Fries would term a centrom. They are remarkable for having bcen characterized as possessing four maxillary palpi, two to each maxilla. This excellent characteristic may, however, as above explained, be more accurately understood by accounting all Coleoptera as having only two maxillary palpi, and the Adephaga to be only peculiar in laving a biarticulate process to the maxilla, which in some species is degraded to a mere spine. The typical structure of the maxilla in adephagous insects scems to be that of the Cicindelide, where this organ has both the external and intersal lobe biarticulate. In all the other Chiloporlomorpha the external joint of the internal lobe, when it exists, is as in Cicindela, unguiform, but confluent with or soldered to the first joint.
These predaceous insects evidently form two very natural groupes, viz. the Terrestrial aud Aquatic Adephaga, the former of which is much more mumerous in species than the second.

## A. GEODEPHAGA.

Adephaga Terrestria, Lat. Carabus et C'tcindela, Lin. Pcles tantummodo guessorii. Corpus oblongum raro ovatum. Pedes postici motu horizontali et verticali; lamince pectoreles quibus insermentur magnitudine mediocres.

## B. HYDRADEPHAGA.

Adephaga Aquatica, Lat. Dyticus et Gyrinus, Lin. Pefles matatoriz. Corpues matum. Pedcs postici motu tantem horizontuli; laminc pectorales quibus inserwintur maxime.

If the five following families of terrestrial Adephaga, which coincide with those of MM. Latreille and Dejean, be natural, then the subdivision of them will probably depend on the form of the mentm, which deserves particular attention. But although I believe the following table to be a very uear approximation to the truth, I am inclined to think that the accurate demarcation of the respective families depends on the forms of the lavex. 'Thus, the Cicindelide and Carabide are distinguished from all the other terrestrial Adephaga, in having the styliform appendages to the body of their larve corneous; but the Cicindclidec lave them dorsal and affixed to the eighth segment of their body, in order to suit their mode of lite; whereas, the Carabide have them caudal.

* "Sous quelques rapports les Brachély tres avoisinent les Adéphages et sous plusieurs autres les Boueliers et les Nécrophores."-Lat. Règne Animal, vol. iii. p. SIG.
caudal. That the other three groupes of terrestrial Adephaga may be distinguished by their larve in like maner, I infer from a circumstance recorded by M. Latreille, who says, that the larva of Aristus has the form and manners of the larve of Cicindelide, a circumstance perhaps only to be accounted for on those principles of natural distribution which I have explained at length, Hore Entomologice, Part ii. p. 518.

Geodephaga.

1. Normal groupe.
Tibix anticæ haud emarginatæ.
2. Aberrant groupe. Tibix anticx emarginatx.

## Familice.

1. Cicindelidae
2. Carabida
3. Harpatida currentes.
4. Searitida fodientes.
5. Brachinida crepitantes.

The Adephaga Terrestria of Clairville having attracted the attention of all the most celebrated of modern Entomologists, and having been much more studied than any other groupe of insects whatever, it is singular that so little should have hitherto been done towards their natural arrangement. M. Latreille, even in the very first number of the work which he and Baron Dejean have commenced on the Coleopteres de l'Enrope, abandons the hope of effecting a natural arrangement. When I therefore attempt to combat this difficulty in the above rough sketch, it is because it becomes necessary, in order that my readers may form an adequate notion of Dr. Horsfield's acquisitions in this branch of natural history. The five families I have given above answer, with very little variation, to the Abdominales, Cicindeletre, Truncatipermes, Bipartiti and Thoracici of Latreille: who, however, seems to be litte more aware of their mutual connexion than he is of the groupe Chitopodomorpha. The above names, indeed, used by him, I do not adopt, because, in the first place, they disturb that harmony of nomenclature which is so essential to the interests of Entomology ; and, secondly, because they appear fanciful, and do not sufficiently express the characters of the respective families. I have thus thought proper to name them from the most remarkable or best kown gents in each. M. Latreille has another family called Submipalpes, composed solely of his old genus Bembidion, and of which the principal distinctive character is the subulate form of the last joint of the maxillary palpi, is if there were not insects in amost every alephagous family which possess this character. The family of Subulipalpes is therefore clearly to be abolished, and we shall find that the natural place of Bembidion is in one of the five familics above laid down.

On examining the five families in the above table, we find the stims returning into itself and being thus a natural groupe; for it is casy to perceive that Elaphrus has a connexion both with the Cicindelide and Curabider, that Panageus and Licinus lead us from these last to the Harpatide, that Acinopus and Cephatotes lead us from these by means of the gents Aristus to the Scaritide, that Siugomen conducts us from the Scaritide to the Brachinida, from which by means of Anthia and Manticora we return to the Cicinddide. Tlat parallel analogies exist in these families, camot be dombted by any one who considers the genera Colliuris, Agra, Dischyrius, Stomis and Cychrus, or Megacephala, Anthin, Scarites, Chlonius and Carabus, or Cicindela, Graphipterus, Siagona, Blathisa, and Nibria, \&c. \&c. The grenus Euccladus seemalso to connect the opposite points of the circle of aftinity, by comecting the Carabila with the Scaribila.

In the further investigation of these families, which I shall now enter upon, I must regret my imability, for the present, to separate the genera from the subgencra with any certainty.

## Fam. I. CICINDELIDE.

Of this family I shall offer the following Synopsis Generum, both because the number of known genera is so small, and becanse MM. Latreille and Dejean seem to consider it almost impossible to express by one tabular view the affinities which exist in the group. It must however be premised, that if we judge Cicindela campestris to be the type of the extensive genus Cieindela we find C. gracilis Pall. and C. coarctata Dej., teaving it for the genns Ctenostoma of Klug (Caris of Fischer), white by means of Euprosopus 4. notatus Lat, we approximate to Megacephala.

## SYNOPSIS GENERUM COGNITORUM.



By recollecting the approximation of the extremities of this series, we have all the Cicindelide with long cylindrical bodies placed together. M. Latreille founds his primary division of the Cicindelidec on the comparative length of the penultimate joint of theit palpi : a consideration so vague, that we can seareely be surprised that he should, as he says, have foum it impossible to arrange them according to their affinities. The above distribution of the family has, however, the adrantage of combining all the considerationsupon which the two arrangements given in the "Colemplères d'Europe" are founded, and nevertheless, avoids the glating inconsistency of separating

[^1]Autennce cylindricæ, articulo tertio secundu fere triple longiore.
Labrum transversum, medio anticé bidentato.
Mandibuke exsertæ, arcuatæ, tridentatæ, dentibus apice nigris.
Palpi maxillares articulo ultimo obconico crassiore pracedente breviore.
Palpi labiales articulis duobus primis brevissimis, penultimo longo fere cylindrico, ultimo securiformi.
Mentum emarginatum medio unidentatum.
Caput magnum planum. Thorax planus in medio canaliculatus, angulo postico utrinque porrecto subspinoso. Abdomen insecti dimidii longitudine, sessile, cordiforme, thorace latius, clytris supra convexis haud connatis tectum. Pedes anteriores, in maribus saltem, tarsorum articulis tribus primis dilatatis, quarto brevissimo processuque laterali, ultimo tenui unguibus acntis. Tibice ommes apice spinosx.
P.allida. P'. levis tota pallida capite bipunctato elytris sub lente variolosis: variolarum centro eminente.

Manticora pallida? Fab. Syst. Eleuth. 1. p. 167.
Habitat ad Caput bonas spei. Mus. Macleay.
Obs. This curious insect connecting Aanticora with Ategacephala, only differs from the Manticora pallida of Fabricius in not having connate elvtra.
separating Ctenostoma from the long-necked Cicindelide, as the first does; or of placing Ctenostoma near Therates, as in the second. It is a curions proof of the value of the table given in Hore Entomologica, pt. 1. 1. 4, that an arrangement may thus be found, which will keep together the insects of a similar formation of palpi, and whieh may nevertheless not be grounded on the consideration of these organs.

The voricious insects which compose this family are all extremely active in their perfect state, and inhabit sandy districts, as it is in the sand that the artful and wary larve digr cylindrical pitfalls for their prey.

I shall now proceed to the description of such species of this most natural fatmily as Dr. Horsfield collected in Java. They all belong to the three gencri Colluris, Therates, and Cicineld, and eight out of fourteen of them are quite new. 'The length of their body, as well as that of the other insects deseribed in this work, is always measured in inches or parts of an inch.

## Genus. COLLIURIS Fab.

1. Drandr. C. carulea antennis clavatis: elavâ cineren-fusê̂, femoribus mfis tibiis tarsisque cyaneis, his albopubescentibus.
C. Diardi. Lat. \& Dej. Col. d'Europe, p. 67.

Long. corll. $\frac{5}{8}$
Caput labro quadrato septemfido, dentibus aqualibus, mediis obtusis, lateralibus acutis. Palpi articulo ultimo obconico apice subtruncato. Antenne breves filiformes clavà sex-articulatâ. Thorax nec abruptè constrictus nec transversè striatus. Elyftra apice truncata fere levia.
?. Aimarginata. C. carulea thorace subvilloso, antennarum articulis tertio quarto quintoque medio rufix se.t ultimis cinereo-fuscis.
C. longicollis. Lat. Gen. Crust. \& Ins. t. l. tab. 6. fig. S.

Long. corp. fere it
Caput labro subsemicirculari septemfido, dentibus æqualibus subacutis. Palpi articulis ultimis obconicis abruptè truncatis apice securiformi. Antenne medix filiformes vix clavate. Thorux haud abrupte constrictus vel transverse striatus. Elydra apice dentibus acutis. Pedes femoribus rufis. tibiis tarsisque cyaneis, his, posticis presertim, albo pubescentibus.
3. 'I'cbencelat.1. C. ecerulea thorace bis abrupte constricto, antemarum articulis tertio quartoque apice rufis quinque ultimis cinercofuscis.

Long. corp. $\frac{11}{86}$
Cuput labro subsemicirculari septemfido. Palpi articulis ultimis obconicis apice rotundatis. Antennar vix clavate longae filiformes. Thorax constrictione anteriore arctissimà et sic fere tuberculatus. Peiles postici fenoribus ferrugincis, tibiis cyaneis apice ferrugincis, tarsorumıuc articulis cinercis villosis. duobus ultimis nigris.
4. Anvolnf. ('. viridicarulea thorace transversè substriato antennis haud elavatis pallidis: articulo primo subcyanco.

Long. corp. $\frac{17}{18}$
Caput labro subsemicireulari, dente laterali minuto reliquis aqualibus. Palpi pallidi articulis ultinis ovatis. Antenne longissima filitormes. Thorax fere glaber haud abruptè constrictus. Elytra apice suturâ maculàque mediâ ferrugineis. Pedes pallidi tibiis posticis ferrugineis, apice tarsisque albis.

[^2]5. Horshifldit.
5. Horsfieldir. C. carulea thorace transversè striato antennis haud clavatis: articulis tertio of quarto apice, reliquis basi pallidis.

Long. corp. $\frac{11}{18}+$
Caput labro semicirculari septemfido, dente laterali minore reliquis æqualibus, Palpi articulis pallidis apice nigris; ultimis ovatis. Antennce longissime filiformes. Thorar haud abruptè constrictus. Pedes postici femoribus rufis, tibiis cyaneis apice albis, tarsorumque articulis primis albis sed penultimo ad apicem ultimoque nigris.

## Gemus THERATES. Lat. Eurychile Bon.

6. Hemeralas. T. atroviridis reneus, elytris punctatis basi plicatis testaccis apice bispinosis pedibus testaceis.

Long. corp. $\frac{3}{8}+$
Capat atroviride nitidissimum lxvissimum oculis magnis nigris ; labro testaceo octofido, dente laterali distincto majore reliquis aqqualibus. Mandibulce nigre. Palpi testacei. Antennce nigra basi testacea. Thorax atroviridis nitidissimus lævissimus subcylindricus subcanaliculatus antice posticeque constrictus. Elytra atroviridia nitidissima basi testacea plicâ depressâ suturâ nigrâ, apice dehiscentia bidentata, dente apicali majore acuto nigro. Corpus subtus nigrum ano rufo. Pedes testacei tarsorum articulis ultimis nigris.

Obs. MM. Latreille and Dejean have fignted two other Javanese species of this gemus, which they name carulea and spinipermis. T'. humeralis seems to come between the two ; but is evidently most elosely allied to T. spimipamis. The genus itself undoubtedly approaches to Cicindela in affinity.

## Genus CICINDELA.

7. Versicolon. C. atroccemlcus thorace bis constricto elytris atris, apice violaceis; margine maculis tribus viridicencis.

Long. corp. $\frac{5}{28}+$
Insectum nitidum generi præcedenti proximum. Caput atrocærulcum rugis striatum fronte depresso oculis magnis prominentibus. Labrum viride. Mandibulde testacex apice nigre. Palpi testacei articulis duobus ultimis viridibus. Antenuce nigræ basi cærulcæ. Thorax theratis latere posticéque viridis. Elytra trimaculata maculis viridibus marginalibus; humerali elongatâ posticé latiore, mediâ transversâ, posticâque triaggulari. Corpus subtus cxruleum. Pedes atrocærulci.
8. Quadmpunctata. C. cyanca nitida labro lincâ mediâ albidâ, elytris punctis duobus pone medium niveis. C. 4-penctata. Fab. Syst. Elcuth, vol. 1. p. 239.

Long. corp. ㄱ.
9. Analis. C. anca, elytris pmetatis: margine cyaneo, antennis fuscis, ano pedibusque rufis. C. analis. Fab. Syst. Eleuth. vol. 1. p. 236.

Long. corp. $\frac{2}{2}+$
10. Heteronalia. C. subcylindrica cuprea, clytris muctis allis; tribus marginalibus aliâque parvâ mediâ. Long. corp. $\frac{\text { 相 }}{}+$
Caput cupreum rugis striatum, facie viridi ; dalno carinato cupreo, apice quinque-dentato nigro. Palpi pallidi articulis duobus ultimis nigris. Antenna nigra basi cuprex. Thorax rugis striatus cupreus cylindricus antice vix constrictus, lateribus lineâque transversá posticâ viridibus. Elytra punctata cuprea suturâ
elevatâ maculis quatuor, humerali minimâ, mediarum duarum marginali majore posticâque triangulari. Corpus subtus atrocaruleum. Pedes femoribus tibiisque subpiccis.
11. Sembirtata. C. atra, thoracis margine pectoreque aureis, elytris withâ submarginali abbreviatâ punctisque quinque albis.
C. semivittata. Fab. Syst. Eleuth. vol. 1. p. 237.

Long. corp. $\frac{1}{2}$
12. Aurulenta. C. cyaneo auroque variegata, clytris punctis quatuor albis: intermedio lunato. C. aurulenta. Fab. Syst. Eleuth, vol. 1. p. 239.

Long. corp. $\frac{5}{8}$
13. Funerea. C. atrôcuprca elytris punctis tribus marginalibus primo humerali lunuláque apicis clazatá albis. Long. corp. $\frac{2}{16}$
Caput post oculos rugosulum punctis duobus subviolaceis. Labrum nigrum. I'alpi atrocuprei apice cærulei. Antennce nigre basi cuprex stipite aureo. Thorax canaliculatus lincis duobus transversis. Scutellum violaccum. Elytra punctata. Corpus subtus atrocaruleum lateribus pectoris aureis. I'eles femoribus cupreis, geniculis tibiarum apice tarsisque atrocaeruleis.
14. Tremebunda. C. olivacea-subanea, clytris margine laterali intervupto lunulâ humerali clavutâ apicalique dentatâ strigâque mediâ recuřâ cluvutâ.

Long. corp.--fere $\frac{3}{8}$
Species C. trisignata Des. affinitate proxima, Caput cupreo-xneum rugis striatum, labro albo palpisque testaceis articulo ultimo viridi. Antenne nigre basi cupreæ stipite aureo. Thorax cupreo-æneus canaliculatus lineis duobus transversis, lateribus pilosulis. Elytra subpunctata punctis vix elevatis strigâ mediâ incumbente et clavâ fere separatâ. Corpus subtus viridiencum, lateribus pilis albis hirsutis. Pecles viridiænei albo-hirsutuli, femoribus cupreis

## Fam. 2. CARABID.E.

The collection does not contain any insect very near the type of this family, the character jer cacellentiom of which, is to have the maxillar without any articulated anguis at the apex, and the anterior tibiae without any cmargimation on their inmer side. In receding from the genus (inrabus: which is the type of the groupe, and advancing to meet the Harpalide, the first appearance of the tibial emargimation may be tracel at the apex by an obligue lincur comal in some insects, which nevertheless truly belong to the family. 'This canal, howerex, in some cases, loes not advance so lar ats the anterior face of the tibia.

When irritated, this family of inseets posseses, in a rematkable degree, the property of spirting ont fom the anns an exceedingly acrid and volatile haid.

## Genus. PANAGEUS Fab.

15. Cerecs. P. niger clypea glabro, occipitc thoraceque profunde menctatis, clytris striis punctatis maculisque duabus undatis mellcis : anticâ latiori marginali.
§ Long corp. $\frac{1}{2}+$
Caput punctis scabriusculum clypeo Labroque glabris. Thorax suborbicularis punctis profundis scabriusculis. Scutcllum nimimum triangulare. Elytra striis decem impressis punctatis, scutellari brevis-
simâ ; maculisque duabus cereo-flavis, anticî subhumerali a quintî striâ ad marginem et posticâ versus apicem a quintâ striâ ad nonam undulatis. Corpus subtus atronitidum. Pedes nigri.

Sulygemes LISSAUCHENHUS Nobis. Panagaus Wiedemann? Carabus Fab.
Lahrum transversum antice hand emarginatum.
Mandibulce acutre, sinistrâ mạ̣ore.
Palpi maxillares elongati articnlo quarto obconico apice truncato.
Palpi labiales articulo ultimo magno securitormi.
Mentum dente simûs simplice.
Subgenus P'anagao certe affine. Collum distinctum. Os acntum. Thorax canaliculatus marginatus nitidus subyuadratus utrinune rotumatus antice posticeque angulatus. Corpues alatum. Tersi maris antici articnlis tribus dilatatis.
Obs. This genus differs from Pamageres in having the labrum not emarginate, the last joint of the maxillary palpi not triangular, the middle tooth of the mentum simple and the thorax neither snborbiculate or entire, and scarcely wider than the head. The antenne are mutilated in the only specimen of the grenus which Dr. Horsheld has brought to England.

## 16. Rertrivoratre. L. ater capite thoraceque viridiancis, plytris sulcatis punctatis maculâ posticâ flarâ. ठ Long. corp. $\frac{7}{16}$

Caput lave labro palpis antennisque nigris, his basi subpiceis. Thorax punctatus ovatus anticé posticéque truncatus lateribus marginatis. Elytra convexiuscula atronitida striâ primâ ad scutellum brevissimâ. Corpus subtus nigrum. Pcdes nigri femoribus rufis.
Obs. This insect comes very near to the description of Carabus posticus in Fabricius, the only difference being that the latter insect has the "thorax lacis" and the "pedes fulvi." The I'unagretes chalcoccphulus of Wiedemam, which is also a Javanese insect, may possibly belong to the same subgenus.

Fim. 3. HARPALIDE.
We know comparatively so little of the exotic species of this most mmeroms fimily, that it is impossible for me at present to grive its matural distribntion with any degree of eertainty. This is, indeed, my only apolog! for the want of regularity, which the Entomologist cammot fail wo discover in the order of the following genera, which, moreover, I am quite mable to distinguish firom the subgenera. The inability to separate gencra from subgenera, is the nuavoidable conssequence of not linowing the natmral distribution of the family.

## Genus Clll ENIUS Bon.

17. Cowcrus. C. capite thoraceque subeneis clytris atroviridibus: margine testaceo pedibus testaceis corpore nigro.
C. ('inctus Fab. Ent. Syst. 1. p. 138.-61.
C. Nanthocrus Wiedemann, Zoologisches Magazin. Band. 2. st. 1. p. 65.

> \& Long. corp. vix

Caput vix thorace anguslius cupreum lieviustulum, facie viridieneâ, labro testacco, mandibulisque piceis. Antenna testacce. I'alpi testacei articulo ultimo haud truncato. Thorax marginatus punctulatus.

Elytro striata striâ primâ ad scutellum brevissimâ. Corpus subtus atronitidum albdominis margine testaceo.
Obs. This ajpears to be the Carabus cinctus of Fabricins, but is not the Caralus cinctus of Olivier, which is European, and has had a new specific name given to it by Duftechuidt. The true $C$. cinctus above described seems to be found throughout India, for there is not sufficient difference in Wiedemann's description of his C. Xemthocrus to separate it from our insect.
18. Aprcales. C. niger capilis thoracisque lateribus cupreis, elytris obscuro-nigris maculâ apicali pedibusque favis. Long. corp. fere $\frac{11}{26}$
Caput thorace paulo augustius labro palpis antennisque piccis. Thorax marginatus posticè punctatus. Elytra striatî striâ primâ ad scutellum brevissimâ.
19. Quadricolor. C. niger capite thoraceque cupreis, elytris obscuris ore antennis pedibusque rufis. Carabus 4-color. Fab. Syst. Eleuth, vol. 1. p. 180. —————Oliv. lns. 35. tab. 10. fig. 111.
${ }^{6}$ Long. corp. $\frac{5}{8}$
Obs. The only difference that appears between the unique specimen in Dr. Horsficld's collection, and the description of Fabricins is, that the latter's insect has the head and thorax viridianeous instead of cupreons. From his C. tenui-collis, our insect differs in having a romded, instead of a narmo thorax.
20. Micans. C. elytris auro micantibus, apicc maculâ testaceâ, pedibus rufis.

Carabus micans Fab. Ent. Syst. 1. 151. 115.
Carabus analis Oliv. lns. 35 t. 10. fig. 115.
3 Long. corp. $\frac{1}{16}$
Ons. Althongh Ohivier gives Senegal as the habitat of his $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$. mulis, it nevertheless seems to be the same with the C. micans of Fabricius and onf insect. If Olivicu's species shonld prove different, it is, at least, clear that he has not sufficiently characterized it.

## 21. Flavguttatus. C. capite thoraceque viridicneis elytris obscuro-nigris striis quartâ quintâque ante maculam transversam interruptis.

Long. corp. $\frac{1}{2}$
Caput viridiæneum labro mandibulisque nigris, palpis antennisque nigro-piceis his basi illis apice testaceis. Thorax subquadratus marginatus latcribus convexis punctatus subcupreus margine viridianeo. Elytra atra obscura punctulata striata striâ primâ ad scutellum brevissimâ, quartâ et quintâ mediointerruptis et ante maculam posticam marginalem suberuciatam flavam coufluentibus. Corpus subtu* atro-nitidum. I'edes femoribus flavis geniculis tibiisque nigris, tarsis piceis.

## Gemms CATASCOPUS-Kirby.

Fintenme articulis: scomblo et tertio fere aequalibus.
Labrum ohlongo-guadratum areatum, antice angustius et prolunde cmarginatum, lobis rotundatis singulo setis trilme iustucto.
Mandibulce edentule acute crassa breves incurve.

Palpi breves crassi articulo ultimo ovato apice subtruncato.
Labium obconicum convexum setis terminalibus instructum. Paraglosse labio duplo Jongiores magne rotundatre.
Mentum dente medio vix conspicuo.
Caput hand thorace latius. Thorax convexinsculus truncatus obcordatns anticé latior lateribus simatis. Elyftra margine postico unidentato convexiuscula lateribus parallelis.

Obs. Mr. Kirby has published so excellent a description of this gems in the l4th vol. of the Linnean Transactions, that the above generie chatacter may appear superflnons ; and, indecd, it is only here given for the purpose of comparing the species more readily with the following genms Periculus, to which they approach very near in aftinity. Both genera have their elytua premorsotruncate at the posterior margin.

```
2.2. Fl:EGAN. C. viriliaureus labro mandibulis palpis antennis pedibusque nigris, elytris sulcato-striatis striio
    luteralibus punctatis latere aureo.
    C. elegans. Fab. Syst. Eleuth. 1. p. 184.76.
    Elaphrus elegans Weber Obs. Ent. p. }45
    Tachys clegans Schön. Syn. Ins. 1. p. 2e1.
    Long. corp. \frac{5}{3}
    Caput pone oculos nigros punctatum collo lavi. Thorax lincà anticà transversâ curvà aliâque medià
        longitudinali fossulaque utrinque posticà impressus. Scutellume nigrum. Elytra marginata lateribus
        aurcis strià scutellari brevissimâ. Corpus subtus atronitidum.
```

Obs. Fabricins takes no notice of the emargination at the apex of the elytra, which is a character of the genus. The Carabus elegans of Olivier belongs to quite another gemus.
23. Quadrmaculatus. C. viridiaureus labro palpis antennisque piceis, pedibus rufis, elytris striatis; maculis duabus flavis.

Long. corp. $\frac{1}{4}$
Ons. This last species differs in several important respeets from Catuscopus elrgens, whieh comes nearer to the species described by Mr. Kirby, and named by him C. Imrolurkii. The Carabus splemidulus of Pabricius, also belongs to the genus whieh thus contains fone deseribed species.

## Subgents PERICALUS Nobis.

Antemate articulo tertio elongato.
Labrum oblongum distinctum antice emarginatmm.
Maulibula porrectae subparallele.
Palpi mandibulis vix longiores temues cylindrici.
Mentum bidentatum medio plano truncato.
Caput thorace latins collo distincto. Oculi globosi valde promimhli. Thorex depressiusculus obcordatus profundé canaliculatus, antice cmarginatus angulis subporrectis acutis, antice latior lateribus simatis subreftexis. Elytre marginata postice midentata. Abedomen depressiusculam antice angustius.

Obs. This gemus is in some respect or other connected with Sphodrus, as may be seen on examining the elongate mandibute, cylindrical palpi, long thitd joint of the antemne, and obcordate form of the thorax. The specimen in the collection of the East India Company being unique, I an unable to give more than external chanacters.
24. Cichndeloides. $P$. cyaneus facie labro pedibusque nigris antennis piceis elytris striatis.

Long. corp. fere $\frac{1}{10}$
Caput pone oculos rugis striatum collo glaberrimo. Mandibula nigra. Palpi ferruginei. Antennce apice pubescentes. Thorax lateribus rugosulis, lineâ posticâ transversâ impressus. Eilytra fere sulcata sulco scutellari brevissimo et ad apicem pilis paucis raris longis instructa. Corpus subtus atronitidum. Pedes trochantere ferruginco.

## Genus REMBUS Lat.

Obs. The synopsis of the family of Carabiques given in the work of MM. Latreille and Dejean, ought to be consulted for the characters of this genus. It is, however, easily to be known by the deep semicircular emargination of its short transverse labrum. It seems to come near both to Licimes and Badister; from the former it differs in having the three arsi of the anterior fect less diated in the males, and from the bater in the babrom, mentum, and patpi.
35. Politus. R. ater nitidus labro antemnarumque articulis basalibus nigra-piceis; his apice pubescentibus pallidioribus.
Carabus palitus I'ab. Syst. Eleuth. vol. 1. p. 189.
Carabus indicus Herbst. Arch. p. 163. 1., 21. p. 29. fig. 11.
す Long. corp. $\frac{5}{3}+$
Ors. The specific chameter given to this insect by Fabricius is so viaghe, that I have deemed it uecessary to make a new one as above.

## Sulgemus DIROTUS Nobis.

Antenne versus apicem pubescentes, stipite minimo globoso, inticnlo primo obconico crassiore tertio sequali sed secundo duplo longiore, articulis utimis aequalibus filiformibus apicali subulato.
Lalrum quadratum, antice sex setis ciiatum, vix emarginatum, anginlis subatufis.
Mondibula acutissima porrecte attennatae apice arcuatie basi vix moldentatie.
Maxille longre tenues falciformes compresse, latere interno spinis brevibus achtis armato, angrolo basali setis armato; processu dorsali articnlo basali longo tennissimo, secmalo precedente fere triplo breviore cylindrico.
Palpi maxillares aticulo stipitali minimo, scomblo erasso subovato, tertio temissimo vix obconico precedentibns simul smmptis longiore, nltimo subconico breviore.
Palpi labiales irticulo primo erasso subeylindrico brevi, secundo brevissimo globoso, tertio precedentibus simul sumptis fere daplo longiore tembi obconico, ntimo subobeonico breviore apice obtuso.
Cabium subquahratum apice truncato setis dabus terminalibus. Paraglossa btomque membranacea

ANNULOSA JAVANICA.
membranarea, tenuis, cylindrica vel potins subulata, labio multo longiore.
Mentum tridentatum dente sinus simplice.
Thorax longior quam latior, convexus, marginatus, medio canaliculatus.
Obs. This subgenas has the habit of Doliches, from which it is not far in affinity.
26. Subiridescens. D. atronitidus palpis antennis tarsisque picco-rufis, thorace brevissimo, elytris striatis atroiridescentibus.

Long. corp. $\frac{3}{8}+$
Caput totum lævissimum. Elytra striâ primâ ad scutellum brevissimâ, sculpturâ marginali irregulari. Corpes subtus atronitidum pedibus nigris.

## Sulygomes COLPODES Nobis.

Antcnucarticulo tertio elongato seu duorum primorum simul sumptorum longitndite ; articulis tribus primis nitidis, reliquis pubesceutibus.
Labrum transversmm quadratum integram.
Mandibula elongate trigonec, ipice acute iucurva.
Palpi maxillares articulo tertio tenui obconico, quarto mquali cylindrico-ovali vix truncato. hentum sinu simplice.
Caput fere longitudine thoracis. Thorax obcordatus, antice emarginatus, prosice truncatus, lateribus rotundatis haud sinuatis, margiuibus subreflexis. Corpus convexiusculum elytris striatis posticè sub-emarginatis. Pedes antici of tarsorum articulis omnibue dilatatis, penultimo bilobato lobo anteriore majore.

Oss. This subgenus has some comexion with the genera Sphodrus and Anchomenus; from the former it may easily be distinguished by its thomax and from the latter by its antenne. The posterior sinuation of the elytra seems to indicate a relation to Catuscopus.

> 27. BRUsaEUs. C. atrobrumneus concolor nitidus ore ferrugineo, antennis apice rubris, geniculis tarsisque piccis. Long. corp. $\frac{1}{2}+$
> Caput læve facie mediâ elevatâ lateribusque rugosulis. Anternę articulis ultimis octo rubris pubcscentibus apice ciliatis. Thorax lineâ anticâ transversâ, mediâ longitudinali, fossulâque utrinque posticát impressus. Elytra striâ suturali brevissimâ.

## - Subgenus OMASEUS Zieg.

Obs. The following species differs from the type of the subgenus which, according to German catalognes, is the Curabus melunarius of Illiger, or C. lencophthalmus of Fabricius, in having the last joint of the maxillary palpi securiform. I do not, however, think it necessary to separate it generically from that insect.
28. Viridicollis. O. niger capite viridi: clypeo oreque nigris, thoraceviridi: margine nigro, clytris atropurpureis J Long. corp. $1 \frac{1}{16}$

## Subgems CAT ADROMUS Nobis.

Antema setacer articulis septem ultimis pubescutibus, articulo tertio procedentibus simul smmptis breviore.
Lahrom breve, latum, transersum, antice subemarginatum, medio quatnor setis instructo, angulis rotundatis.
Montibula validissime capite pato breviores, subtrigons, extus convexa intus concava, basi unidentata, apice acutissime incurat.
Maxilla subtrigona intus setis ciliatie, apice ungue acuto armato; processus dorsalis articulo basilari obconico, apicali subcylindrico incurvo vix subulato.
P'ulpi maxillares articulo stipitali brevissimo ovato, scemelo et tertio iequalibus hoc obconico illo incurvo subcylindrico apice subcrassiore, articulo puarto vel apicali breviore obconico apice obtuso.
Palpi labiales f̧asi mento afixi, stipite minimo tuberculiformi, articulis primo subobconico et secundo sulghoboso quasi articulum unum albo-amulatum versus apicen constrictum formantibus, articulo tertio obconico intus setis duabus instructo, articulo ultino breviore setâ unâ instructo, obconico, apice obtuso.
Labinm stipite occulto, obconicum lateribus subsinuatis, margine antico emarginato, angulis setis duabus terminalibus instructis. Paraglosset vix labii longitudine, utrinque distinctr, membranacex, temues, subclavatr, apice obtusa.
Montum tridentatum dente sinus simplice acuto.
Elytra apice sinuata vel potius emarginata. or Tursi anteriores articulis tribus dilatatis.
Obs. This subgenus differs from Omaseas in having the elytan enarginate at the apex and the middle tooth of the mentum simple instead of emarginate. It approaches also to plutysma migra in affinity, and las some relation to Cephalutes Bon. (Broscus Panz) ; but this is much loss remarkable than the former affinty.
29. TEvebreodes. C. atronitidus viridi-marginatus clytris sulcatis: sulco a suturâ secundo bipunctato margineque viridi-punctato.
C'arabuestencbröoides. Oliv. Ins. N". 35, p. 17.8.
Long. corp. $2 \frac{1}{2}$
Ore. This insect, of which a wretehed figure is given by Olivier, is the largest and handsomest of the Javancse Adephagu. A priceous varicty in my fathers collection is the very specimen from which Olivier took his deseription and figure. Its identity, therefore, with the above species is completely ascertance, and its nigropiccous colour in all probability merely results from its having been a young insect when taken.

## Gemes DICELINDUS Nobis.

Antemas setacea thorace longiores articulis primo et tertio aequalibus, ultanis octo pubescentibus.

Labrum transversum quadratum.

## Mandibatice at in Dicelo.

Palpi muxillares articulo penultimo et ultimo atpualibns, hoc cylindrico ovali.
Hentum dente sinns bifido.
Thorar transverso-quadratus lateribus roturlatis marginatis, antice emarginatus, postice trutucatus, medio canalieulatus, fossulâ lineari utrinque postice impresshs.
Corpus valde depressum elytris striatis. Pedes antici maris tarsorum articnlis duobus dilatatis.

Obs. The affinities of this eenus wordd be very diffeult to discover were it not for a Brazil inseet, which I believe forms M. Latreille's getms . Hicrocephatus,* and which clearly comects it with Diccelus. This Brazilian inseet has the subguadrate mentum of Dicelindus, and the seenriform palpi of Dicalus. It may also be worth while to compare our insect with Amara and Dinorles.
30. Felspaticus. D. nigro-iridescens lavissimus labro antenuis tarsisgue piceis, elytris septemstriatis : margine exarato postice subcaterulato.

Long. corp. 雷
Capul atronitidum, postice subiridescens, facie hifossulatì, labro quadrato piceo. Antennce articulis basalibus nitidis piceis, reliquis pubescentibus rufis. Thorax politissimus iridescens. Scutellhen minutissimun. Elytra sicut Felspath politissina. Abdomen subiridescens. Pedes nigri tarsis ferrugineis.

* In protesting against the slovenly mode lately adopted by some continental naturalists, of publisling generic names without defining the genera to which they are applied, I must express my regret at secing it now resortel to by those who have most powerfully appealcal against it. Because they are themselves well aequainted with the insects to whiclı they assign certain names, they fancy that others must also know them, forgetting that the general adoption of the name must always depend on the aceurate definition of the relation which exists between it and the insect. At least I hope, that it is this species of oversight which alone occasions the grievous inconveniences of which Entomology has to complain ; for I ean scareely suppose that naturalists, to whom the science owes so much in other respects, would condescend to confuse it, or thwart its progress for the mere sake of securing, by a doubtful priority, so trifing an advantage as a generic name, and so miseralle a fame as must depend upon such priority. Certain it is, however, that inextricable confusion must arise from this course of proceeding, unless it be now at once firmly resisted; and unless Entomologists resolve to abide by the maxims laid down on this subject by Linnaxus and Fabricius. Proceeding on the principles laid down by these great authorities, who lave both declared characters absolutely necessary, in order that genera nay be known, I an sure that the reader will consider me justified in considering no manc as secure, unless it be accompanied with a character. In these pages all names of mere catalogues, whether generic or specific, shall be as much overlooked as if they never had existed. In some few cases, perhaps where the names like Rembus, Omaseus, are assigned to deseribed insects, and the meaning of the author is thus, in some measure, ascertained, I may choose not to increase the confusion by refusing to adopt then, although M. Latreille has most truly said, that even such names without characters, "ne sont que de simpples indications ct n'impoosent aucunc loi."
I ought here to observe, on my own part, that it may possibly be found that M. Wiedemann las published in the pages of his Zoologisches Magazin, some few of the species here described; and of course, his names in such cases must be adopted as having the right of priority. Aldough I have long been in expectation of receiving the work complete, I unfortunately, at present, only possess some loose shects of it, which I owe to the kinduess of Dr. Escholk. In every instance, however, where I coull obtain M. Wiedemann's names, I have carefully adopted them, for tiis deseriptions are not only detailed, but very accurate.


## Geaus TRECHUS Clairv.

31. Convexus. T. atronitidus pedibus antennisque piceis, his ad basin palpisque pallidioribus, clytris substriatis. Long. corp. $\frac{1}{8}$
Insectum Cephalotis habitu parvulum alatum vix huic generi associandum. Caput nigronitidum latitudine thoracis. Autennce articulis subæqualibus primo duobus sequentibus simul sumptis breviore, articulis quatuor ultimis crassioribus, apicali longiore ovato. Palpi maxillares articulo ultimo subulato cums tertio breviore quasi articulum unum fusiformem formante. Thorax convexus marginatus obeor-dato-truncatus, basi angustior, latior quam longus, medio vis canaliculato. Lilyfra striis sub lente distinctis, primâ ad scutellum brevissimâ.
Obs. Although I have assigned this little insect to the geme Trechus, I am aware that it differs from it in many respects. The only specimen however in the collection is so mutilated, that I cannot venture to fomd a subgenus upon it, and therefore present as full a deseription of it as its being pasted down on paper will permit me to make. It agrees with the characters of Treches given in the Regne Animal of M. Cuvier ; but these have been too vaguely drawn up to cnable a beginner to form a correct idea of the gemus.

## Sulygemus GNATHAPHANUS Nobis.

Antennce articulis fere requalibus secundo breviori.
Labrum trausserso-quadratum, angulis anticis rotundatis.
Mandibula sub elypeo fere occulte; sinistrâ ad basin solum apparente.
Palpi maxillares articulo ultimo subsubulato, tertio obeonico breviori.
Palpi labiales articulo ultimo pracedente breviore, subulato, acuto.
Mentum breve, transversum, dente silus minimo simplice.
Caput transverso-quadratum, latus quan longum, antice truncatum facie brevissimâ. Thorax ut in Marpalo, sed fossulâ lincari brevi utrinque postice impressus. Corpus oblongum. Elytra striis irregularibus punctisque discalibus, apice cmargiuata vel excisa.

Obs. To this anbgenns the Harpalus Thanbersi, of Schönherr appears to betong. It differs, however, from the following species, in being pubescent.
35. Velnenipenvis. G. ater, elytris decem-striatis: striâ secundâ brevi spatioque inter strias tertiam et quartan septent punctato.

$$
\text { Long. corp. } \frac{10}{10}+
$$

Insectun nitidiusculum. Caput lineâ transversâ anticâ utrinque fussulatà. Palpi articulo ultimo piceo. Antennce obscure pubescentes. Thorax lateribus posticeque marginatus, medio canaliculatus. Scutellum inconspicuum. Elytróa marginata strià sccundà cum primà ad scutellum confluente: striis quartâ et quintâ, sextâ et septimâ apice contucntibus, spatio inter septimann et octavam bi-vel-tripunctato, illoque iuter decimam et striam marginalem punctato scabroso. Pedes nigri.

> fiemes IIARPALUS Lat.
33. Punctilabmas. II. niger antennis apice rufo-pubescentibus, lubri limbo antico brunnen scrymenctato, fucie trensuersè-lincatî́.

Caput lincâ transversâ angulis deflexis. Thorax lævissimus marginatus margine subrugosulu, lineâ mediâ longitudinali, fossulàque utrinque postice inconspicuâ. Elytra striata striâ secundâ ad seutellum brevi.

Obs. The following description of an insect unique in the collection is taken from so matilated at - pecimen, that althongh I am almost sme it is not a true Horpalus, I camot venture to assign it to any other subgenns. Although it has a punctured thorax the habit is rather that of Gnatheipleames than of Ophomes Dej.
35. Punctulate's. H. niger, totus subtilissime punctulatus, clytris pubescentibus striatis, pedibus faris tarsisque picets.

Long. corp. $\frac{3}{18}$
Ciput labro transverso quadrato subemarginato.

> Sulyemes AMAR.I Bon.
35. Tricolor. A. nigra elytris ancis, labro nigro, palpis antennis pedibusque ferrugineis.

Long. corp. $\frac{3}{8}$
Caput lineâ faciali transversâ utrinque fossulatà. Thorux convexus, marginatus, lævissimus, vix canaliculatus sed fossulà postice utrinque impressus. Elytra striata striâ secundâ ad scutellum inconspicuío. Corpues subtus nigrum.
36. Scbolhaces. A. nigronitida labrofemoribusque piceis, antonnis pedibusque ferrugincis, clytris aneo-olinaceis viridibus vix nigris.

Long. corp. $\frac{5}{10}+$
Caput nigronitidum latum transversum labro semicirculari. Thorax planus, lateribus posticeque marginatus, vix postice utrinque impressus. Elytra striata margine punctato, striâ secundâ brevi tertiaque versus apicem punctis aliquot raris.
37. Subevea. A. nigronitida labro piceo, antennis basi pedibusque rufis, thorace postice utrinque impresso, elytris nigro-aneis.

Long. corp. vix. $\frac{3}{16}$
Precedente paulo minor differt antennis basï solum rufis, thorace sulcis tribus posticé distinctis, femoribus nigris elytrisque haud viridibus.

## Subemus DIORYCHE Nobis.

Antemne lineares, pubescentes, articulo tertio dabus precedentibus s.s. Breviore.
Labrum transverso-quadratum angulis rotmodatis.
Mandibulec breves.
Palpi maxillares articulo quarto subulato, precedente obconico breviore.
Palpi labiales articulo ultimo acuto sub-subulato.
Ifentum sinu simplice angusto.
Caput facic emarginatâ. Thorax latus; punctatus, marginatus, canaliculatus, obcordatuquadratus, antice emarginatus. Elytra striata, apicc simuatio vix emarginata.
38. Torta. D. atronitidu antemis ferrugineis, pedibus fuvis, elybris nigro-čieis : striis tertiâ sextâque puncutis. Long. corp. $4+$

Caput labro picco palpisque ferrugineis. Thorax posticé creberrime punctatus. Elytra striù sceundà ad scutellum brevi, spatio inter strias tertiam et quartam, quintam et sextam punctato. Coxa ferruginex.

Obs. The Carabus flavitabris of Fibricins perlaps comes near to this insect, if not to the subgenus Colpodes.

## Sulgenus HYPHEREON Nobis.

Antenne pilosulae vel pubescentes articulo tertio secundo duplo longiore.
Labrum quadratum.
Mandibula longriusculae acutae.
Palpi maxillares articulo ultimo elongato tenui obconico.
Palpi labiales articulo ultimo breviori subulato.
Mentum dente sinus simplice parro acuto.
Caput oblongum grlabrum, facie lateribus subparallelis utringue fossulatis. Thorax lievis, nitidns, canaliculatus, subquarhatus, lateribus rotmolatis, antice marginatus, marginibus lateralibus subpunctatis subreflexis, posticoque subpunctato, fossulâ ntrimpue vis conspicuâ. Elytra striâ sccundâ ad suturam brevi.
39. Reflexus. H. atronitidusantennis oreque piccis, pedibus obscuris; femoribus testaceis, thorace postice punctis scabroso.

$$
\text { Long. corp. } \frac{1}{4}+
$$

Caput mandibulis nigris palpisque rufis. Antenne obscuro-piceæ apice pallidiores. Elytra striis profundis. Corpus subtus atronitidum, ano obscuro.

## Subgemes HYPHARPAX Nobis.

Antenna longitudine thoracis, apice crassiores, pubescentes, articulis secundo et tertiu æqualibus.
Lab:um quadratum.
Mandibulce longiusculxe acuta.
Palpi maxillares inticulo ultimo elongato, tenui, obconico.
Palpi labiales articulo ultimo breviori subulato.
Mentum tridentatuan.
Caput triangulare inter oculos bifossulatum glabrum. Thorax brevis, comeximseulus, levissimus, transverso-quadratus lateribus rotundatis ; lineâ mediâ longitudinali hand marginem anticum attingente fossulâtuc posticâ utrinque lineari. Élytra striata striis aqualibus.
40. Lateratus. II. atronitidus ore antennis pedibusque forrugineis, elytris striis tateralibus creberrime punctulatis apiceque forruginco.

Long. corp. fere $\frac{1}{4}$
Caput atronitidum labro picco, palpis ferrugineis. Thorax postice trilineatus.

## Gemes ANAULACUS Nobis.

Antenne moniliformes, crassic, vix capite longiores, articulis secusilo et tertio fere a qualibun. Labrum breve, latum, transverso-quadratum, angulis obtusis, antice vix emarginathm.
Mandibulce late trigone latere externo incurvo.

Palpi maxillares articulo ultimo brevi cylindrico apice vix temiore.
Puraglossa distincta tenues cytindrica membranace:
Mentum trilobum.
Caput triangulare lavissimum inter oculos hand bifossulatum. Thorax duplo latior quant longus, antice cmarginatus, postice vix convexns, lavissimus canaliculatus. Corpus totum depressinscuhm latnm abdominc sessili. Scutellum indistinctum. Elytra submarginata. Pedes quatuor postici spinosuli.
41. Serictpenvis. A. atronitidus ore antemis pedibusque fermgineis, elytris lavissimis nigrosericeis: maculis duabus rufis.

Long. corp. fere $\frac{1}{4}$
C'aput atronitidum labro piceo, mandibulis palpisque ferrugineis. Thorax atronitidus lateribus pilis paucis ciliatis. Elytra atra sericea maculâ sagittiformi rufầ ad humeros alterûque securiformi ad apicem. Corpus subtus atronitidum.

Ubs. This is one of those singular and apparently anomalous forms which occur mot unfirequently amourg the IIarpaliter.

## Subgemes EPHNIDIUS Nobis.

Antenne eapite duplo longiores, apice crassiores pubescentes moniliformes, articulo secundo et tertio acqualibus.
Labrum transverso-quadratum, antice vix emarginatum.
Mandibuke late trigone latere extermo incurvo.
Palpi maxillares articulo ultimo elongato tenuiore subsubulato.
Menti simus simplex.
Caput triangulare lævissimum, inter oculos haud bifossulatum. Thorux marginatus, duplo latior quam longus, antice emarginatus, fere simatns, postice lobatus lavissimus canaliculatus utringue postice vix fossulatus. Corpus totum depressiusculum oblongum abdomine perliculato. Elytra submarginata striata striâ prima scutetlari brevi indistinctâ. Pedes quatuor postici spinosuli.

4?. Adelioides. E. atronitidus labro pedibusque nigro-piceis, antennis palpisque ferrugineis, elytris holosericeis atris.

Long. corp. $\frac{1}{7}$

## Sulogems CELOSTOMUS Nobis.

Antennce articulis nltimis novem pubescentibus, subequalibus, secundo breviore.
Lalmum trancersun, ad basin latius, marginc intico pubescente emarginato sex setis distinctis, lobis rotundatis.
Mandibula subinaduales crasse arcuate, apice obtusa, crenata, sub labro latentes.
Palpi brevissimi ; maxillares articulo ultimo longo subulato acuto.
Labinm mininum, paraglossis fere duplo longioribus laminam membranaceam subquadratam, antice bitobatam, basi angustiorem formantibus.
Mentum in ore concavo deflexmm, dente sinus minimo acnto vix conspicuo.

Caput levissimun facic subemarginata. Thorax circuli segmentammajns formans, marginatus, convexus haud eanaliculatus, suborbiculatis, margine antico truncato lineâque transversâ impresso. Elytra apice subsinnata, striata, striâ primâ ad scutellum brevissimâ.
Obs. The affinity of this subgenus secms to be towards Licimes and Batister.
43. PIcrpes. C. atronitidus anternis obscuris: articulis duobus primis pallidioribus, pedibus pallido-piccis, elytris apice subpiceis.

Long. corp. vix $\frac{1}{4}$
Caput lævissimum labro nigro, mandibulis corporeque subtus nigropiceis.

## Fam. 4. SCARITIDA.

The typical character of this family, which appears more numerous in the new world than in the old, consists in the broken antenna, the pedunculated abdomen, the semilunar thorax, and digitated anterior feet.
MM. Latreille and Dejean, in their late work, Colerptères el Eutrope, seem to regard the Saratide as animals not carnivorous. But against this opinion, so contrary to what might have been judged from analogy, we have the authority of two aceurate observers, MM. Olivier and Lefebre cle Cerisy. The latter maturalist, who, from his residence at Toulon, possesses rany facilitics for studying their economy, has mate some most interesting ubservations on the Genus Scarites, and particularly the S. Gigas of Olivier. He finds them to be nocturnal insects of prey. During the day, they lurk almost withont motion in the holes which they dig in the earth, but at night they sally out and prey on the various Melolonthide, \&e. which may happen to fall in their way.

The only three species of the family which Dr. Horsficld found in Java belong all to the typical part of it.

## Genus CLIVINA Lat.

44. Sabulosa. C. nigro-brunnca capitc lineâ anticâ transversali: vertice haud inzpresso, elytrorum striis fere crenatis.

Long. corp. $\frac{1}{4}+$
Insectum Clivinâ arenariâ Lat. angustius, corpore minus convexo. Caput frontis medio haud puncıo impresso. Thorax lateribus truncatis vel saltem quam in C. Arenaria haud tam convexis.
(iemus SCARITELS Fiai).
45. Semicircularis. S. mandibulis canaliculatis, thorace postice rotundato, clytris punctato-striatis : striâ tertiâ unipunctatâ.
An Scarites punctum, Wicdemann, Zool. Mag. Band 2. s. 1.p. 38 ?

$$
\text { Long. corp. fere } \frac{1}{2} \text {. }
$$

Insectum totum atronitidum. Caput vix bisulcatum scd latcribus striatis. Thorax lævissimus, marginatus, canali medio lincam anticam transversalem impressam attingente haud ultra progrediente. Elytra marginata striis punctatis impressis punctoque striæ a suturâ tertiæ versus apicen impresso.

Obs．This species，if not a variety of a Bengal insect described by Wiedemann under the name of $S$ ．menctum，comes exccedingly near it．
46．Indus．S．mandiludis substriatis，thoracc postice subtruncato，elytris lineato－striatis striâ tertráá bipunctatâ． Scarites indus Oliv．Ins．2，no．36．tab．1．fig． 2.

Long．corp．皆
Insectum S．subterraneo FAB．affine，totum nigronitidum．Caput sulcis duobus rugosulis impressum． Thorax lævissimus marginatus，canali medio lineam anticam transversalem impressam attingente haud ultra progrediente．Elytra marginata striis impressis；striâ a suturâ tertiâ punctis duobus mediis hoc apicem versus illo basin versus impressà．

Obs．This species was confonnded by Falnicius with his $S$ ．subterrancus，an American insert， which would have been an Attelabus with Degeer．

## Fam．5．BRACHINID压。

In this family，as well as in the last，we have rarely，if ever，that dilatation of the tarsal joints， which often marks in so extraordinary a manner the difference of sex among the Varpalider and Carabide．

The typical insects of the family are gregarious，and well known for the detonatiog mode of defence which they employ against their enemics．This curious property results from the rapid volatilization when exposed to the air of an atcrid liquid analogous to that which we have alrealy toticed in the Carabida，but which in the Carabide retains its liquid state on being ejected from the anus．The construction of the two sacs which secrete this Huid is cxplained by Cuvier in the Regne Animal．

Each of the three first insects to be described in this family might have bren itsigned to new subgenera，as they do not accurately coincide with Bonelli＇s characters for the genera Dromius， Lamprias and Lebia；hut as their place in the system is visible at first sight，I have judged it monccessary to multiply subgeneric names．

## Gicmes DROMIUS Bon：．

4．7．Tethaspilotus．D．nitidus，capite nigro，thorace nigropiceo，elytris atris atriatis：maculis duabus，favis．
Long．corp．vix $\frac{3}{38}$
Caput nigrum labro oblongo quadrato antennis palpisque piceis．Thora．ubcordatus latior quam longus depressiusculus canaliculatus lateribus subreflexis Elytra maculis duabus hac basali illâ posticali． Corpus subtus pedesque picei．
Ors．This species has the middle tooth of the mentum indistinct，and thus to a certain dogree leaves Dromius．Carabus motulatus，of Fabricins，appears to come near to our insect，which， with the fullowing species，has the elytra very．little trusicated，if at all．
（icmus LAMPRIAS Bon．
48．Ruficeps．L．rufa nitida，clytris cyancis striatis medio depressiusculis：striis profundioribus，oculis genicu－ lisque nigris．

Long，corp．is
Ons．This species appears to be more common on the continent of India than in Java．

Genus LEBIA Lat.
19. Splesidideld. L. ruff, oculis albis, thorace marginato, elytris striatis viridiceneo-marginatis apice truncatis.
An Lebia marginalis, Wiellemann Zool. Mag. Band. ?. s. 1. p. 60?
Long. corp. 호
Insectum omnino splendidulum corpore subangusto. Caput rufum clypeo inter antennas fossulato, labro magno quadrato, mandibulis latis inermibus apice acutis. Mentum lohis latis sed maxillarum basin haud tegentibus. Antennce articulo tertio brevissimo. Thorax truncato-obcordatus rufus medio canaliculato. Scutellem inconspicuum rufum. Elytra abbreviata abruplé truncata pulcherrima. Corpus subtus nitidissimum rufum. Pedes rufi.
Ons. This species comes so neat to a Bengal insect described by Wiedemann as L. murginalis, that I must leave the separation of them to the entomologist who may have it in his power to examine both.

## Suthgemus ORTHOGONIUS Dej.

Antenne breves crassiuscule.
Lahrum transversomquadratum, antice emarginatum lobis rotunditis, singulo setis tribun antice instructo.
Mandibulce inæquales, subtrigonæ, litæ, superue convext, angulatix, subtus concava, basi subdenticulatæ, apicc acutissimæ incurvæ.
Maxilla sinuate apice latiores, latere interno membranaceo ciliato haud spinuloso vel setoso, processu dorsali articulo ultimo oblongo temui orato vel fusiformi; dorso pone palpos duobus tuberculis setigeris instructo.
Palpi maxillares articulo primo brevissimo, sceund maximo crasso obconico subincurvo. tertio obconico, quarto conico, his duobus quasi articulum mmm oblongum oratum formantibus, ultimo tertio breviore.
P'alpi labiales articulo basilari (labii stipiti affixoj brevissimo lato, articulo secundo brevi obconico vix subgloboso, tertio obconico precedentibus simul sumptis longiore, quarto precedente breviore subconico vel potius subulato.
Labium angustum subcylindricum apice clavatum setis duabus instructum. Paruglossa labio baud longiores late angulis rotundatis membranaccis; Stipes labii magnus semicircularis menti simm fere implens.
Mentum sinu edentulo setâ utrinque instrocto angulisque acutis.
Ciput facic anticâ setis sex instructâ. Pedes ungubbus subtus denticulatis tarsormungue articulo pennlimo bilobato.

OBs. As M. Dejean has assigned a name to this subgenus in a manuscript catalogue, I have thought proper to adopt it, although the genus is now for the first time characterized. It agrees with the three former gencra in hatying the ungues of the tarsi denticulated beneath, ind the clytra subtruncated at the apex; but in most other respects of external appearance it diflers widely from the Brachinide in general. It has the labit of a Nebria, and possibly approaches to this genus or to Blethisa in affinity. Judging from the ciliated membranaccous maxilla, I suspect that this gemus is not very carnivorous in its habits.

うll. Picilabits. O, nigro-brumeus capite nigro, thorace sulco transverso postice impresso, clytris striis subpunctatis.

Long. corp. $\frac{5}{8}+$
Caput nigrum labro palpisque piceis. Anfennce piceie, apice pilosulx obscuræ. Thorax eanaliculatus antice haud marginatus, duplo latior quam longus, lateribus subreflexis, quadrâ mediâ impressâ, angulis fossulatis. Elytra striâ secundâ ad scutellum brevi. Corpus subtus piceum. Pedes picei tibiis nigris.
51. Brunnilabrts. O. brumcus capite thoracis disco clyfroranque limbo nigris, thorace anchord dursali impressâ margineque pallido, clytris striuto-punctatis.

Long. corp. fere $\frac{17}{16}$
Caput labro palpis antennisque brunneis, his apice obscuris birsutis. Thorax canaliculatus, duplo latior quam longus, fossulâ utrinque postice impressus. Elytra striầ primât et secundâ ad scutellum brevi confuentibus. Corpus subtus brunneum. Pedes nigriusculi femoribus brunneis.
52. Alternans. O. niger thorace sulco transverso postice impresso, elytris striis vix geminatis, interstitzis alternatim punctulatis.

Plochionus alternans, Wiedemann, Zool. Mag. Band. 11. s. 1. p. 52.
Long. corp. $\frac{5}{8}+$
Caput palpis brumeis labro antennisque uigris, his apice pubescentibus. Thorax antice marginatus canaliculatus duplo latior quam longus, fossulâ utrinque postice impressus. Elytra striâ secundà ad sentellum brevi cum primâ confluente. Corpus subtus nigro-brumncum pedibus nigris.

Obs. The above-mentioned three species come very near to the gems Plochionzs of Dejen. and accordingly Wiedemann appears to have referred all the species of the genns Orthogonius with which he was acquainted, to Plochionus, viz. his $P$. duplicatus, $P$. acrogomes, and $P$. ulterneus. Plochiomes, however, has a more western geographical situation, no species being, to my knowledge, found farther east than Bordeaux, while America appears the metropolis of the genus. Some species of the genus Plochiomes may be expected to occur in the south of England or Ireland, particularly the P. Bonsfilsii of Dejean.

## Gemus DRYPTA Fab.

53. Lineola. D. rufa clytris punctato-striatis pubescentibus: vittâ media rufâ, pedibus rufo-testaceis; genieulis piccis.
An Drypta lineolr, Meg. apud Dej. Catal. p. \&.?
Long. corp. 곻
Caput rufum convexum punctatum oculis albis, mandibulis maxillisque apice piceis, palporum maxillarium ruforum articulo ultimo ovato. Antenne rufe articulo secundo longissimo apice piceo. Thorax punctatus subeylindricus haud capite longior, truncato-obcordatus, postice marginatus, medio canaliculatus. Elytra apice vix truncata nigra, striis decem, scutellari brevissimá, vittâque medià longitudinali rufâ haud basin sed suturam ad apicem attingente. Abdomen subtus atro-viride.
Obs. This species varies, or at least the $D$. lineolu which comes from the continent of India is so near to it, that it is scarcely possible to assign distinct specific characters to them. A New Holland Dryptu, which I have naused "Austrulis," differs also from the above only in havingr
having the palpi, antenne and feet black, the coxæ and base of the femora being rufotestaceous.
54 UnIDENT.sT.A. D. cyaneus femoribus sanguineis, elytris postice unidentatis: decem striis punctorum interstifiisque punctatis.

Long. corp. is
('toput cæruleum punctatum oculis albis, mandibulis piceis, palpis maxillaribus longissimis rufis articulo ultimo ovali sericco-albicante. Antennar rufie articulo basilari consjicuo, secundo ad apicem quartoque ad Jasin piceis. Thorax capite multo longior punctatus subcylindricus medio haud canaliculatus. Elytra apice abrupte truncata vel unidentata pubescentia. Corpus subtus nigro-cyaneum. Pede's coxis testaceis, femoribus rufis, geniculis tibiisque piceis, tarsis rufescentibus.

Obs. In Bn. Dejean's eatalogue we find a manuscript-name " longicolfis" assigned withont any description by Megerle to an Indian Drypta. As I conceive this entomologist may only have obtained a knowledge of such Indian insects as were collected by M. Fichtel, I account his D. longicollis, although the name will equally apply to the above Javanese insect, to be a native of the Continent. Drypta longicollis differs in that ease from $D$. umidentate, in being atrocyancous with yellow femora, in having truncated but not dentated elytra, and in having the fourth joint of the antenne altogether rufous. Drypta flezipes of wiedemann, a Bengal insect, weems to be still another distinct species.

## Subgemus APTINUS Bon.

55. Occipit.Alis. A. alatus ater capite flavo : vertice nigro, tharace bimaculato, elytris sulcatis flavo bimaculatis. d Long. corp. $\frac{3}{4}$
A. bimaculato Lat. et A. fulminanti Fab. affinis sed alatus. Capul flavescens postice punctatum maculá mediâ nigrà campanulatâ. Antenna flavescentes articulo basilari nigro. Thorax niger marginatus subcanaliculatus maculâ utrinque ferrugineâ. Elytra atra sulcis haud striulatis striis elevatis ad apicem pallidis, maculâ humerali rotundâ strigâque mediâ abbreviatâ flexuosû clavatâ transversî valde angulata Havis. Corpus nigrum. Pedes flavi geniculis nigris.

Obs. Bonelli has separated the genus Brachinus from Aptimas, on no other accomt than that the latter is apterons. If, however, we reckon 13. sclopeta Fab, to be the type of one genus, and B. ballista, 11. of the other, the insect above deseribed, although winged, will come nearer to the later than to the former. The fact is, that Antimes has not yet been properly separated from Brachinus.
M. Dejean appears to be acquainted with other Javanese species of the genus than the one mentioned above.

## Gcnus PLANLTTES Nobis. Helluo Dej.

Antenne articulo primo et quarto equalibus et hoe secundo tertioque simul smmptis longiore.
Tabrum quadratum antice vix emarginatum.
P'alpi maxillares articulo secundo dnobus ultimis simul sumptis arquali, tertio obconico, quarto oblongo crasso apiec obtuso.
P'alpi labiales articulo altimo securiformi sed vix tertio crassiore.
Mentum tridentatum.

Caput ut in genere Taro Clairv. Thorax subquadratus canaliculatus postice angustior angulis anticis rotundatis. Corpus valde depressum.

Obs. This genns is intermediate between Tarus Clairv. (Cymindis Lat.) and IIelhoo, Bon.; from the later it differs in the labrum not being acuminate, and from the former in the shape of the maxillary palpi and thorax.
56. Bmaculatus. P. ater labro palpis antennis pedibus elytrorumque maculat media fermgineis elytris suleatostriatis.

Long. corp. $\frac{1}{2}$
Caput atronitidum transversé punctatum facic lævi bipunctatâ antice truncatà. Thorax atronitidus punctatus. Lelytra atra depressiuscula sulcata, sulcis profunde striatis maculâ versus basin ovali ferrugineà. Corpus subtus atrum.

Ons. This species may perhaps be found too near the Carabus Stigma of Fabricius, but certainly is not the same with the Melluo distactus of Escholtz, described as a Javanese insect in Wiedemann's Zoological Magazine; although I suspect the latter to be also a Planetes, from what Dre. Escholtz says of the thorax being proportionally longer than in his Helluo impictus, a -pecies found in Bengal. Helluo distactus, differs from Planctes bimaculatus in being striated aud having each stria marked with two rows of points. None of these species, however, are true Helluones, and the mistake has arisen from the continental entomologists being so little acquainted with the original Melluo of Bonelli, Melluo custaturs, which is a New Holland insect.

## Stirps. 2. HYDRADEPHAGA. Hydrocantiati Lat.

In the Geodephaga the binary subdivision of the groupe is not very distinet, because the characteristic marks of each subdivision insensibly pass into each other. In this stirps of aquatic carnivorous insects it is however different, for the binary subdivision is remarkably distinct, and I know as yet of no insect which can satisfactorily fill up the hiatus that occurs between the Gyrini of Linnæus and his Dytisci.

The larva of the IIydradephage differ from those of Geodephagra in being truly aquatic, and therefore breathing by tracheal branchix. Their prothorax also, or that segment of the body which corresponds with what is usually called the thorax of the perfect insect, is not of a more corneous texture than the other segments.

I shall not at present attempt to divide the Hydradephaga into families, but content myself with giving the following approximation to a natural arrangement. The genus Hoplitus of Clairville seems to form the type of a family which I have not here ventured to designate.


Fan.

## Fam. 1. GYRINID E.

Degeer, in his immortal work, has observed, "Les Tomrniquets approchent beaucoup der Scarabés-d'cau ou des Dytisques ;" but the remark was neglected by Latreille until lately. In his Generu Crustaceorum et Insectorum he placed the Gyrini and Parni in the same family, named by him Otiophori, thus confomding a relation of analogy with one of affinity.

I know not whether I am quite right in considering these insects as belonging to the normat groupe of Hydradephaga; but certainly, both in their perfect and larva form, they are farther distant from the Carubi than Dytisous. As however it is imposible to proceed naturally in a linear series of description, I begin with this Hydradephagous family, which is known to every entomologist by its gregarious sportive nature and its auriform antenne.

The larve of Gyrinide are exactly Soolopendre in appearance, the tracheal branchice answering to the false feet of the Chilopola. The perfect insects are almost the only Hyltralephaga that possess a metallic lustre.

## Genus DINEUTUS Nobis. Gyrinus Lat.

Anternce brevissimæ apice subacute.
Labrum semicireulare hatd ciliatum.
Pulpi clavati.
Pertes antici fere corporis longitudine.
Obs. These few characters, although merely external, will sufficiently separate this genus from Gyrinus. M. Latreille has observed that, the exterior biarticulated lobe of the maxillæ. or (as it is more commonly called) the interual maxillary palpus becomes evanescent in the exotic Gyrini, as well as in certain exotic genera of Geotcphagu such as Therates.
57. Politus, D. nigro-aneus lavissimus, clypeo nigro-piceo angulis rugosulis aurris. pedibus anticis piceis posticis pallidis.

## Long. corp. $\frac{3}{4}$

Genus GYRINUS.
58 DENTIPEnNis, G. niger vix aneus elytris pastice unidentatis apice truncato-sinuatis punctulatis substriatis.
Long. corp. $\frac{5}{80}+$
Labrum nigrum. Corpus subtus nigro-xneum vix cuprcum ano hirsuto. Pedes antici picei posticis quatuor rufis.
Obs. I an mertain whether this species be sufficiently distinct from the Gyrimes Intus of the supplement to the Ent. Syst, a species which Fabricius afterwards abandoned in the syst. Elentheratorum.
59. Lembatus. G. clytris apice truncato-sinuatis striatisad suturam ceneis, vittâ mediâ sub-cupreả margineque viridi.

Long. corp. vix. 오.
Caput viride vertice subcupreo labrique margine viridi. § Caput punctis duobus sub-impressis.

## Fam. 4, DYTISCLDA.

The larve of these insects have not the lateral branchial appendages of the Gyrinide, and are therefore much less scolopendriform. Indeed their sub-convex and rather conical body with various other circumstances might, on a first view of them, make us place them out of their natural situation; but their obvious analogy to the larve of Hemerolii, as well as to the larve of Geolephaga, will serve to make them known to the practical entomologist.
There are few insects so voracious as the Dytiscide, and their power of moving at will either in the water, in the air, or on the earth, gives them ample means of satisfying their rapacity.

1 may in this place make the remark, that aquatic insects do not among themselves differ $=0$ much in form as terrestrial insects. It is not merely that they are fewer in species, and therefore may be expected to form fewer genera, but that the tropical genera of aquatic insects are much the same with our own, or at least are not so different from each other as the tropical and European Gendephagu. Another remark to be made is, that aquatic insects are in gencral as large or larger with us than they are within the tropics. I know of no Hydrophilide larger than our Hydroplitus piceus; and the largest of the Dytiscide, that has ever come under my notice, is the D.latissimus of Sweden. The only exception to this remark among the Hydradephage occurs in the Gyrinide, as for instance in the genus Dinentus above described.

## Gemus COLYMBETES Clairv.

(3U. Octodechm-maculata. C. niger capite maculis tribus, thorace marginali, clytris vittâ marginali maculisque novem flavis.

Long. corp. $\frac{5}{16}$
Caput maculis tribus mediis Thoraxque maculâ marginali flavis. Elytra striis tribus punctorunı obsoletissimorum, vittá marginali nee basin nee apicem attingente, maculis flavis tribus basalibus, quatuor mediis fasciam fere formantibus et duabus apicalibus. Corpus subtus nigrun abdominis lateribus rufo-maculatis. Pedes quatuor antici flavi.
61. F.abricin. C. collo nigro, thorace rufo, elytris cinereo-rufoque striatis.

Dytiscus varius. Fab. Syst. Eleuth. i. p. 267, 48.
Long. corp. $\frac{3}{8}$
Obs. Fabricius described an insect in the Ent. Syst. which he found in the Banksian cabinet, and called it $D$. curius. Afterwards he confounded a Sumatra insect, which he found in Daldorff"s cabinet, with his $D$. varius, and altered the original specific character to sutht his new insect. which I here call D. Fubricii.
62. Suturalrs. C. elytris cinereo-nigroque variegatis: striis tribus punctorum imprcssis sutûâ nigrâ lineâque utrinque rubrâ.

Long. corp. $\frac{1}{2}$
Caput obscure ferrugincum punctis duobus impressis medio utrinque nigrum, ore palpis antennisque testaceis. Thorax glaber levis marginatus subcanaliculatus rufus maculâ mediâ transversali nigrà. Elytra punctis numerosissimis approximatis nigris cinereisque variegatis, striis punctorum obsoletis. margine exteriore rubro. Corpus subtus nigrum, pedibus quatuor anticis femoribusque posticis piceis.
63. Vitratus. C. ater lavis elytris vittâ sub-marginali flavâ: maculâ baseos atrá.

Dytiscus vittatus, Fab. Ent. Syst. i. 19014.
$\longrightarrow$ Oliv. Ins. 40. tab. i. fig. 5.
Long. corp. $\frac{1}{2}$
Obs. The black spot on the yellow vitta in this species varies exceedingly.
64. FAscuatus. C. elytris favis: fasciis duabus suturâ punctoque apicis nigrii.

Dytiscus fasciatus. Fab. Ent. Syst. 1. 189, 9.
—————Oliv. Ins. 40, tab. 2, fig. 19.
Long. corp. $\frac{1}{2}+$
Genns DYTISCUS. Lin.
65. Ghiseus. D. cinereus thorace punctis duobus nigris elytris fasciâ dentata nigría.

Dytiscus griscus, Fab. Ent. Syst. 1, 191, 16.
$\longrightarrow$, Oliv. Ins. 40. tab. 2, fig. 12.
Long. corp. $\frac{1}{2}+$
Obs. This species appears to be very generally dispersed over the warmer latitndes, as it occurs in my father's collection from Bengal, Bombay, Italy, Spain, France, and even from the Island of St. Bartholomew, in the West Indies, where it was collected by Dr. Forstrom. This West Indian specimen only differs from the rest in wanting the black spots on the thorax, which spots are also evanescent in Enropean varieties of D. griscus.
66. Rugosus. D. nigro-viridis, clypeo thoracisque margine laterali flavis, elytris medio rugosulis viltâ marginali interruptâ.

Long. corp. $\mathbf{I}_{\frac{2}{76}}$.
Caput atrum clypeo labroque flavis antennis palpisque pallidis. Thorax nitidus striis duabus lateralibus aliâque anteriori transversâ leviter punctulatis. Elytra nigra limbo lævissimo nitido, striis ıribu*: punctulatis exaratis, vittâ marginali flavâ postice fractâ apicem elytrorum haud attingente. Corpus piceum lateribus pedibusque anticis pallidis.
67. Limbatus. D. olivaceus thoracis elytrorunque margine flavo, abdomine atro: maculis latcralibus testaccie. Dytiscus limbatus. Fab. Syst. Eleuth. 1, p. 25S, 2. Dytiscus aciculatus. Oliv. Ins. 13, 6. tab. 3, f. 30.

Long. 1 릉

## Stirps. 3. PHILHYDRIDA.

Entomologists in general, with the execption of M. Latreille and his followers, have allowed a close affinity to exist between this stirps and the Hydradephaga, and nothing but the difficulty ol making this affinity accord with the other parts of his system conld ever have made so acute ant entomologist as M. Latreille to donbt so obvious a truth. Originally both these stirpes were known under the common denomination of Hydrocanthar, and Lianacus comprized all the species under the generic name of Dytiscus, separating the grompe into two sections, which correspond with one stirpes Hydradcphaga and Philhydride. To these sections, in process of entomological investigation, he gave the names of Dytiscus and Mydrous, but finally for this last groupe adopted the word Hydrophilus, which had been already appropriated to them by Geot-
froy. Still, however, the Dytisci and IIydrophili were kept close to each other as neighbouring groupes by Limmens, Geoffroy, Fabricius, and Olivier, umtil M. Latreille thought proper to separate them.

Olivier seems to have well remarked that Degeer's opinion as to the number of joints in the antennae of IIydrophilns piceus being only nine, is fommed rather on appearance than on truth, and that the real mumber corresponds with that of the Dytisct, namely eleven, the only difference being that che eighth and tenth joints are here very minnte. Their place is marked by the distances which jutervene between what are commonly consittered the second and third, and the third and last joints of the elava. The fact however is, that the momber of joints in the antennae is in these two stipes subject to some variation from the typical mamber, which in Coleoptera is eleven.

I have already alhuded to those two divisions of the maxilla in Hydrophihes of which one corresponds with what is usually termed the internal maxillary papus in Aldepharg, although it now ceases to be palpiform. In some genera however, such as Spercheus, which come nearest to the IIydralephugra, the outer process of the maxilla is long, slender, and trmly palpiform. Fabricins aceordingly, when he instituted the genus Sperchans assigned six palpi to it, as well as to Dytiscus. The feet, indeed, of the lhilhydride, as well as uther points of their extermal anatomy, their larvae and their habits, all prove their anlinity to the $H$ y

The larva of IIydrophilus picens is long and somewhat conical, and bears great resemblance to that of a Dytisens, the body being terminated in both by two filiform processes, whieh seem nseful for the respiration of the insect. Oue grand difference between them, as Lyonnet has shown in contravention of a curious fancy of M. Friseh, is that the head of the laver of IIydrophelus being adapted to its habit of preying on small mollusen as they float in the water, is inclined towirts its back, whereas in the other it has its usnal inclination towards the belly. both larva are thus carnivorons, fuit the water when full-grown, and having made an owal cocoon, malergo metamorphosis in the earth.

The Philhydride appear, when arrived at their perfect state, to be in some degree herbivorous. or at least to lose in a great measure the carmivorous habits of the Mydredephage ; they seem therefore to indicate an approach towards insects truly herbivorous. Perhaps Ifydrophilus picers is as voracions an animal as belongs to the stirps; yet we may learn how inferior it is in voraeity to an Adephagons insect, from the anecdote recorded by Clairville, on the anthority of Dr. Esper, who having confined an insect of this species in a glass of water with a lytiscus marginulis, not more than half its size, soon fomel it yield itself an easy prey to the latter, which having detected a volnerable part between the head and thorax, greedily tevonted it. M. Miger, also, who observed so well the singular manners of this family, and who has given so detailed an account of them in the fonrtecnth volume of the -Imules du Mremem, aseertained that the greatest prart of the food of the perfect insects is derived from aquatic phants.

I shall offer the following arrangement of the philhydride as an aproximation to the natural one:

1. Normal groupe?

Palpi antennis breviores
2. Aberrant groupe?

Palpi antennis longitudine saltem æquales.

Philhydfida.
\{1. Heterocerida?
\{2. Parnidd, (analogous to the Gyrinida.\}
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { 3. Helophorida. } \\ \text { 4. Hydrophilida, }\end{array}\right.$
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { 4. Hydrophilida, } \\ \text { 5. Spharidide? }\end{array} \quad\right.$ (analogous to the Dytiscida.)

In this table, althongh the aftinity of Sphecridilde to Hydrophilide, and of Heteroceridec to Parnidec is ineontestable, I have thought proper to mark the place of the Sphecridide and Heteroceride with doubt, as their comection is not very distinct. The fore tibie, however, in both fanilies are spinous; and the tetramerous genus Georissus seems to be of some use in uniting these discordant groupes.

## Fam. 1. HETEROCERIDE.

The type of this family is tetramerous, hut its affinity to the Parmida has never been contested. Dr. Horsfield has brought no insects from Java that can be safely assigned to the groupe.

## Fam. 2. PARNID E. Parnidea. Leach.

In the Genera Insectorum et Crustaccoram M. Latreille has placed the type of this family or the true genus I'armus in the same family with Gyrinus, and has called the whole group Otiophori. He thas mistook a very obvious relation of analogy for one of aftinity; and accordingly, in the Considérations Générales and the third volume of the Règne Aumul, we find that he separates Parmus and Gyrimes, giving them their proper afthities, but taking little or rather no notice of the analogy whieh exists between them. The genus Potamophilus of Germar (Hydera of Latreille) appears to lead off to Octhehius of Leach, and other insects of the next family.

Subgenus DR YOPS. Leach.
68. Hardwicku. D. olivaceo-fuscus aut nigricans, lomentosus, elyjris punctorum impressorum lineis octo tarsisque omnibus rufescenlibus.

$$
\text { I.ong. corp. } \frac{1}{4}
$$

Obs. This subgenus is characterized by Dr, Leath in the third volmme of his Zoological Miscellany, page 88, and may be easily known from Parmus by its wanting the thoracic longitudinal fossulae of the latter genus. Dryops IItrduickii differs from the type and only other known species of the subgenus, (that is from D. Dumerili, which is a South of Europe insect, in having a darker colour, and the points of the elytra impresed instead of elevated. I have named this new species after Major-General Hardwicke, a gentleman to whom every naturalist is indebted for the zeal and seience he has displayed in the prosecution of the several departments of Oriental Zoology.

## Fam. 3. HELOPHORIDA.

There are no species of this family among Dr. Horsfield's insects. The groupe is remarkable among the Philhydrida for the metallic lustre which generally charaeterizes the insects which
compose it, and which ouly again oceurs in the contiguous fanily of Hydrophitide. They appear to lead naturally to Berosus, and such other genera of the next family.

## Fam. 4. HYDROPHILID $A$.

The analogy between the larger insects of this family composing the genus Hydrous and the larger Dytisci is too striking to escape the notice of the must cursory observer. Their manners, their larve, the singular diatation at the extremity of the anterior tarsi of their males may all serve to shew us how Limmens came to name the type of this family Dytiscus piceus.

The most singular habit known of this insect is that the female spins out of her abrlomen a grommy matter, which forms an envelope for her eggs, and these, disposed symmetricalty in their oval receptacle, float about on the surface of the water nutil the larve are hatehed. It is not known how many other genera of the family possess this curious cconomy.
The insects of this family which come from tropical climates prove, by their near affinity to European insects, how much fewer typical forms there are of aquatic insects than of terrestrial.

## Subgenus BEROSUS. Leach.

69. Puichellus. B. griseo-flavescens, capite scutello thoracisque maculâ mediâ divisâ nigris, elytris striatis: maculis tribus.

$$
\text { Long. corp. } \frac{1}{8} \text {. }
$$

Insectunn supra punctulatum. Elytra maculis tribus obscuris striisque nigris impressis, interstitiis crebré punctatis, punctis nigricantibus.
Obs. This genus often retains some of the metallic lustre of the Helophorielce. Genus ENHYDRUS. Meg.
70. Pallens, E. allicans nitidus punctulatus, thorace maculis quatuor obscuris transversé dispositis elyfrisque obsoleté striattis.

$$
\text { Long. corp. } \frac{3}{3} \frac{3}{2} \cdot
$$

Genus SPERCHĒUS. Fab.
71. Platycepialus. S. infra nigricans, supra scabriusculus cincrcus, elyfris lineis quatuor elevatis: dorso bitubcrculato, pedibus subferrugincis.

$$
\text { Long. corp. } \frac{5}{32} \text {. }
$$

Obs. This curious little insect is truly a Siperchens, and thus becomes the sccond species of the genus that is known to entomologists.

Genus HYDROUS. Lin. Leach.
72. PALLIDIPALPTS. H1. olvacaco-niger, elytrii striis punctorum tribus, margineque vagé punctulato.

$$
\text { Long. corp. } 1 \frac{1}{2} \text {. }
$$

Hoe Insectum ab alio Americano (H. Feselfalape mihi) ex Insulì Sanctx Trinitatis simillinı differt corpore convexiore breviore, colore dilutiore, palpis crassioribus, ct antennarum articulo sexto precedentibus simul sumptis multo breviorc.
73. Bilineates. II. nigropiceus, clytris sulculis punctorum duobus obsoletis, lineâ mediâ punctorum tagorum, aliosque marginalibus.

Long. corp. $1 \frac{3}{16}$.
Insectum precedenti simillimum, sed differt corporis longitudine, clytrorum sculpturî lineisque punctorum vagis marginalibus tribus vel quatuor, femoribus brunneis, articulo palporum ultimo brevi crassiore subsecuriformi.

Fam. 5. SPH ERIDID A.
It is not my intention to attempt at present the accurate determination of the natural place and boundaries of this family, becanse it wonld remire a more minnte and detailed investigation than the limits of alocal Fuma will admit. The remarkably close comexion, however, which exists between M. Latreille's Mydrophilii and Sphaeridiote both in construction and economy, induces me to describe in this phee the only two species of Sphererinum which are to be found in Dr. Horsfiedd's collections ; and, indeed, althongh I wonld not by any means be supposed to lay down my arragement as certain, or for the present attempt to give more than a general statement of the near affinty which exists between this family and the last, yet I camot forbear calling the attention of the entomologist to the circumstance of the genns Spharidium possessing those two processes to their maxille, which form so prominent a character of the phillyglride as a stirps.

This family is less aquatic than any of the four preceding, and I agree with Fabricius in thinking that such genera as Phalucrus, Agethidium, \&e., may safely be assigned to it. It is trone that Latreille has separated them from Spheridinem, because they are tetramerons; but by jarity of reasoning, since Heteroccus and Gembisues are also tetramerous, he onght to have separated the first from the vicinity of $P^{\prime}$ urmes and the viher from that of Elmis. It is the evil, however, of half-artificial systems like that which is fommed on the number of joints in the tarsi, that while they are at nter variance with natural aflinities, they ro not even answer the humble purposes of a catalogne.

The similarity of certain species of this family to Petalocerons inseets has often been remarked, and in fact it is from these insects that a transition is made to the Chilognathomorphas or Coleoptera having larva which resemble Chilognuthu.

Genus SPITERIDIUM. Fab.
74. Hydropinloides. S. atronitidum punctulatum, palpis antennis tarsis thoracisquc lateribus nigro-rufescentibus, clytris punctorum stries impressis.

$$
\text { Long. corp } \cdot \frac{7}{2} \cdot
$$

Obs. 'This species indisputably proves the ciose abinity of Spherimitum to the last family:
75. Marginatum. S. clytris immaculat is maenlisve obsolctis, thoracis elytrorumque margine cxterno pedibusque ferruginco-lutesccutibus.

Spharidium Scarabceides, Var. D. Lat. Gen. Ins. et Crust. vol. ii. p. 72.
Sphcridium marginatum, Fibl. Syst. Eleuth. vol. i. p. 93.
Long. corp. $\frac{5}{3}$.
Ons. Without attempting to decide the question, whether all those insects which Illiger considers as varieties of Sphecridium Scurabuoides be really distinct species, I shall merely say, that the
above described Javanese insect will be found to differ from the European S. marginatum in no respect, except perhaps that of size. With respect to the general affinities of the genus Spheritium, it may be suflicient to mention, that this insect woukl have been a Dermestes with Linnæus aud Geoffroy, alld an IIister with Degeer.

Stirps 4. NECROPHAGA. Lat.
We now come to a stirps so close in affinity to the Philhydride, that Dumeril has combined them in one groupe, to which he has assigned the name of Helocera, from the antemme in both being in a similar mamer clasated.
The Necroplitgu, however, of Latreille, as this stirps is characterized in the Genere Insectorum et Crnsiurearm, vol. i. p. 230, is a most natural groupe, distingnished from the Philhydrild by their habits being less afuatic, their month being prominent, and mandibles generally exserted. The first joint of the maxillary palpi is also evanescent in this stirps, so that these organs may in general be described as three-jointed. Indeed it is only the Dermestide, or fifth family of the Necrophugu, which retains any character of the Spheridide, and the Dermestide are also among the least Chilopodomorphous insects of the tribe, being closely allied to the Byrrhide, and so leading to the Chilogumthomerplect. Linneus aud Geoffroy both observed the affinity existing between the Dermestidnt and Splecridida, and have even described the S. scurchlicoides as a Dermestes. It is from insects, sitmated between the types of these two families, that the Byrrhide take their rise, and lead us to the tribe of insects having Chilognathiform lavve or Chiloghathomorphue.

Althongh the stirps of Necrophenge comprizes many herbivorous insects, we find that cach family composing it, has not merely a disposition to feed on animal matter, but retilus, moreover, many vestiges of the pedaceons habits of the more typical insects of the tribe. Thus among the Silphide, the Silphat 4-punctata climbs the oak for the purpose of devonring the caterpillars, of which so many species infest this tree. Several other silphee attack live terrestrial MIolluser, just as we have secn the ueighbouring stirps of Philhydrida prey on certain aquatic animals of the same sulb-king dom. The dieposition of many of these insects to feed on fungi, is in accord with a general remark to be made on carnivorons Coleoptera, namely, that as the aberrant insects of any gronpe leave the living animal food, which forms the entire subsistence of the normal part of the same groupe, they prey on dead animal matter, or, in preference to other vegetable matter, on fungi.

With respect to the affinities which connect the families of this stirps, I shall, according to my nsual practice, avail myself of the argumentum ad vereondiam, in exphaning them. True it is, indeed, that no natmralist has yet thought of combining these observations, and the cousequence has been, that M. Latreille, among others, has never, in his varions works, given the same arrangement of the stips twice.
M. Latreille has shewn the affinity of the Dermestida and Scephidide, in what perhaps is the most able of his works, I meam the IFistoire Générale des Insectes, etc. vol. ix. p. 190 and 233, where lie has made one family of them, and thens adopted an opinion of Degeer.

In his Considérations Générales, 1.176 , as well as the Histoire Générele, Latreille has moreover shewn the affinity of the Scaplidide to the Silphidce, thus adopting an opinion of Linnæus and Gcoffroy.

In his Genera Insectorum et Crustucorum, vol. ii. p. 2 and 8, Latreille has proved the affinity of the Silphide to the Nitidulide, thus adopting another opinion not only of Linnæus, but of Degeer and Olivier.

In the same Histoire Générule, and Genera Insectorm et Crustaceorum, Latreille thinks the affinity of the Nitidulide and Engida so close, that he makes only one family of them, thins adopting an opinion of Geoffroy and Fabricius.

Finally, in the Mistoire Générule, vol. x. p. 16, M. Latreille acknowledges that the Engida have, "beancoup de rapport avec les Dermestes," thus adopting an opinion of Limmeus, Scopoli, Geoffroy, Fabricins, and Olivier.

Now these varions affinities have never yet been supposed to lead to any general consequence, and nevertheless if comected, which, as was before said, they never yet have been, they proluce the following symmetrical table of the stirps:

## Necrophaga.

1. Aberrant groupe?
Antennarum clava brevis articulis solummodo duobus $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { 5. Dermestida. } \\ \text { 4. Engida. }\end{array}\right.$.
vel tribus?
DERMESTES Lin. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { 4. Engida. } \\ \text { 3. Nitidulida, }\end{array}\right.$
2. Normal groupe?
ava elongata valde perfoliata quatuor $\{$ 2. Silphida.
vel quinque articulis. (1. Scaphidide. Silpfa Lin.
The Necrophaga thus comprize almost all those insects which Linnæus called either Dermestes or Silphe. So close indeed is the affinity of these two Limmean genera, that of the modern gemus Nitidula we find one species assigned by the Swedish maturalist to his gents Silpha, and another to his genns Dermestes.
The Nitidulida lead, by means of Cercus and Micropeplus, to the Brachelytre. That Micropeplus is an insect which Jeaves the typical Necrophaga, is clear from its different antemnæ, and from its having been described as a Stophylimes by so many anthors.

Many, if not the greatest part, of Latreille's Taxicornes belong to this stirps, which, however, has too few Javanese species in it to induce me at present to investigate it acemately. I shall therefore now content myself with saying, that Latreille's groupe of Claricomes, as given in the Dictionaire d'Hist. Nuturelle, is altogether artificial. It is a heterogeneous collection, that is not only inferior to all his former groupings of this family, but is even inferior to what M. Dumeril had ahready done in characterizing his Helocera.

## Fam. 1. SCAPHIDID E.

The first thing which strikes us in the appearance of this famity is the remarkable relation of amalogy which it bears to the Mordellide, the place of which, in their own circle of affinity, is thus pointed ont. Mr. Spence has, among other pertinent remarks on the genns Choleza, in the 13 th wolume of the Linnean Transactions, justly observed, that the resemblance between Mordella and Choleva is merely superiacial. So also is the relation between Scaplitium and Ripiphorus,

Ripiphorus, which last genus is not nearer in affinity to Mordella, than Setiphidium is to Chuleza. M. Latreille, however, in the Règne Animul, has sufficiently proved the very obvious and close aflinity of Seuphidium to Choleve, which it is rather surprising that so acute an entomologist as Mr. Spence shouid ever have donbted. A more than sufficient recompense, however, for the above error is, that Mr. Spence saw that Choleza had an affinity both with Dermestes and Silpha. He has also shewn the relation between it and the genus Anisotoma of Knoch, and thus proved his being no servile follower of the Tarsal system. In short, I would recommend the study of his excellent Monograph on Choleva to all who may wish to understand something of this family, which seems to be, as he observes, more common in Europe than in the other quarters of the globe. Dr. Horsfield found none in Java.

The external process of the maxilłe in the genus Choleva, althongh not distinctly articulated, is always linear or sublinear, and thens affords some ground for Herbst's describing one species as a Carabus, if indeed Mr. Spence be correct in suspecting him to lave done this.

## Fam. .. SILPHID A.

That Dr. Horsfield should have brought from Java none of the typical inseets of this family, which are also those of the stirps, I attribute rather to their rarity than to there being no Silphe or Necrophori on the island. The disgusting nature of the substances in which such insects are to be found, and their peculiar labits, give them often an opportunity of escaping the eye of an observer, even in these temperate climes; and we can easily conceive how the same habits should give them tenfold sccurity in tropical comntries, where the putrid eflluvia of dead carcases are as dangerous as offensive. That Silphece may be found in the Indian Archipelago I conclude from their being ascertained to exist in New Holland and on the continent of India.

The larve of Silphide passess a flat elongate body, terminated laterally by a somewhat sharp angle, and having the last segment provided with two conical appendages. They enjoy that activity which is the general character of Chilopodiform larve, and know how to search ont fresh food for themselves, when they have consumed that which the parent insect had provided for them. When fully grown they bury themselves in the carth, and there undergo metamorphosis.
The abbreviated elytra of Necrophorus mark the typical insects of the groupe, and shew the strong relation of analogy whieh they bear to Crcophilus, and the other corresponding genera of the contiguous stirps of Brachelytra.

Gemus PELTIS. Fab. Thymalus Lat.
76. Ovalis. P. ovata castanca limbo dilutiore, thorace elyfrisque penctis impressis.

$$
\text { Long. corp. } \frac{7}{24} \cdot
$$

Insectum $P$. ferruginere Fab. quodammodo affine, at corpore minus conrexo minusque oblongo. Elytra punctata lincis sex impressis punctulatis, serieque punctorum excavatorum in interstitiis disposità.

Ons. This gemus has certainly an atlinity to Colobicus, and possibly therefore to Elcdona. It is at the extremity of the family.

## Fam. 3. NITIDULID Æ. Nitidularis Lat.

The larve of this family resemble those of the last very closely, as may be seen on inspecting the figure of the larva of Nitidute varia Fab. (Silphe grisea Lin.) given by the late Mr. Curtis, in the second volume of the Limman Transactions. This larva seems to live on putrid vegetable matter.
The perfect insects of the family are to be found in almost all substances, some iuhabiting flowers, and others carrion. They differ from the Silphitce by their manditles being bidentate at the apex, and in gencral by their anterior tarsi having the three first joints dilated. From the Engide they may be distinguished by their more peltate form and transverse thorax.

Gemes NITIDULA. Fab.
77. Preta. N. ovalis, fronte bipunctatâ, clypeo truncato, elytris punctulalis: striis elcvatis setigeris.

$$
\text { Long. corp. } \frac{17}{32} \text {. }
$$

Obs. Species N. grisece Lin. (N. varie Fabo) simillima, at brevior et convexior. Caput ferrugineum punctulatum fronte utrinque fossulà impressâ, clypeo antice truncato, labro emarginato. Thorax ferrugineus punctulatus pilis albis raris vestitus. Elytra nigro ferrugineoque varia.

## Fam. 4. ENGID E.

The typical insects of this family differ in general from those of the last by their clongate form, or by the semi-lunar termination of their maxillary palpi, and minuteness of the pemultimate joint of their tarsi. In the gemus Cryptophegus the sexes may be distinguished by a difference in the number of joints of their posterior tarsi. And if Myeetophugus, and even Triplux, belong to this family, as I snspect they, with their immediate aflinities, will be fomed to do, then tetramerons insects belong to the stirps of Necrophaga as well as to the Philhyllidtu. Accurate examination, however, seems to prove that such insects are not tinly tetramerons; the penultimate joint of the tarsi, which is so minute in Eugis, becoming in Triplax only more evanesecnt. The manner in which this change is effected, becomes manifest on comparing the gencra Ips, Eugis, Triplux, and Erotylus. The comexion existing between these, no one can doubt, and, indeed, M. Litreille loug since remarked it. I am not however prepared to say, hat the Erotyli fall iuto this tribe; but if they should eventually be proved to have this situation in nature, it will be another instance of that manifest relation which exists between the stirps of Necrophagons insects and the Limnem genera Cassida, Chrysomelu, and Coccinella. It is, perlaps, by the Erotyli that the opposite points of the circle of Coleoptera met, for 1 do not think that this gemes will go well among the trne insects with anopluriform larva. As to the Erotyli being tetramerous, it is a circumstance to which little importance ought to be attacherl, since the five articulations of the tarsi are visible in several species, and other insects which are close to the genus, such as Mr. Kirby's gemus Spheriscas, are heteromerons.

Phaleria and its affinitics seem also to have a faint relation to these insects, as well as Cerylon Sylvanus, \&ec. But without estimating the degree of importance that ought to be attached to sueh relations, 1 shall not at present attempt to do more than indicate them, since the true limits of
this most difficult family must depend in a great measure on our better acguaintance with their larve. Perhaps it would be better for the present to consider the typical insects of the groupe as unascertained, and the propriety therefore of the family name Engilce as at least donbtful. It in observable, however, that all these insects were Dermestes with Linneus and Fabricius; and, as in another part of the work 1 shall have to return to this subject, in the mean time I shall merely remark, that from the Helopidee this groupe may easily be distingmished by their clavate antemne.

## Gemus DACNE Lat. Engis Payk.

M. Latreille, in his Précis de Genres founded the genus Ducne on the species of insect called by Herbst, $I_{p s}$ humeralis; and soon after Paykull, in the Fauna Succica, gave the generic name of Engis, with appropriate characters, to the same insect. Fabricius, in the Systema Elentheratorum, adopted this genus with the name given to it by Paykull, and placed in it an American insect, the Erotylus bifasciutus of Olivier (Enc. Meth. Hist. Nat.), which insect is, however, sufficiently distinct, by its maxillary palpi having their last joint hammer-shaped, whereas the Emropean insect (Ips humeralis of Herbst) has the same joint only obtusely subnlate. I leave, therefore, the original name of Ducne with the last-mentioned insect, and give the name of Engis to those exotic insects which coincide with Engis fasciuta of Fabricins, in the above description of their palpi, and which differ from true Erotyli, in having the penultimate joint of their tarsi visible, although very small.
78. SExnotatd. D. antice angustior, nigro-nitida, thoracis angulis anticis, elytrorumque fasciis duabus transversis cruentis.
Engis sexnotata, Wiedemann Zool. Mag. 2. 1. 131.
Long corp. $\frac{3}{4}$
Caput vertice concavo antennarumque clavâ tomentosâ murinâ. Thorax antice angustior, ad angulos subproductus lunulâque cruentâ insignis, posticé vix fossulatus. Scutellum nigrum. Elytra striis punctorum obsoletis, et maculis duabus transversis undatis cruentis, anticâ dentatâ humerum versus. Corpues atronitidum. Pedes nigri tibiis ad apicem tomento brunneis.
79. Quadrimacula. D. nigronitida pubescens punctulata, elytris maculis transversis: humerali mediâque rufis, humero scutelloque nigris. Engis quadrimacula, Wiedemann Zool. Mag. 2. 1. 132.

Long. corp. $\frac{1}{2}$
Antenne nigrx. Thorax niger, antice subangustior. Elytra striis punctorum obsoletis, ad scutellum nigra, maculâ humcrali utrinque excisâ, mediâ lunulatâ. Corpuss atronitidum. Pedes nigri tibiis ad apicem tomento brunncis.

## Gemus ENGIS. Nobis.

The genus Oxyporusamong the Bruehelytra has its labial palpisomewhat like those of this gemis.
so. Venticalls. E. atra, verticis naculis duabus, thoracis annulo irregulari, elytrorum fasciis duabus apiceque rubris.

$$
\text { Long. corp. } I_{\frac{8}{2}}
$$

Caput nigrum, vertice ad oculos bimaculato, antennarumque clavâ tomentosâ. Thorax niger, marginatus, fossulis ad marginem posteriorem tribus ninutis, annulo medio rubro ad angulos protento et lineam
dorsalem versus obscuriori. Scutellum nigrum. Elytra nigra marginata punctorum lineis septem obsoletis, apice et fasciis dentatis tribus rufis, suturâ et fascix lumeralis maculis duabus nigris. Corpus oblongum aterrimum. Pedes nigri tibiis plantisque tomento brunneis.
81. Annulata. E. nigro-nitida, thorace postice subpunctato, clytris annulis duobus rufis, pedibus atropiceis.

$$
\text { Long. corp. } \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{0}
$$

Caput palporum articulo maxillariun ultimo rufo antennarunque clavâ tomentosâ. Elytra lineis punctorum octo obsoletis, annulis basali et posticali rufis. Scutellum nigrum. Corpus oblongo-ellipticun.
82. Crventa. E. nigronitida, thorace utrinque maculả longitudinali, clytris lunulâ basali maculäque posticâ sanguineis.

Long. corp. $\frac{4}{5}$
Caput bifossulatum. Thorax niger marginatus, fossulis tribus ad marginem posticum obsoletis, lineà utrinque longitudinali posticé subfurcatâ. Scutellum nigrum. Elytra nigra lunulâ humerali maculâque apicali rufis. Corpus oblongum aterrimum. Pedes nigri tibiis plantisque tomento brunneo.
83. Lunulata. E. nigro-uitida, thoracis maculis tribus anticis, elytrorum cruce basali lanulâque posticia sanguineis.

## Long. corp. $\frac{11}{20}$

Caput subpunctatum. Thorax maculis tribus anticis linearibus brevibus rufis. Elytra lineis puncsorum obsoletis, cruce humerali vel lunulà caudatâ humerum amplectente et lunulâ posticali simplice sanguineis. Pedes nigri tibiis plantisque tomento brunneis.
84. Liturata. E. nigronitida, thoracis medio maculis annulato, elytris ad apicem liturâ marginali sanguineâ.

Long. corp. $\frac{1}{2}$
Thorax maculis obscuris rufis annulum quasi in medio formantibus. Elytra lineis septem punctorum obsoletis. Scutellum nigrum. Pedes nigro-picei.
85. Subnotunda. E. nigronitida, capite thoraceque subpunctatis, elytris fasciis duabus lunulatis dentatis rubris: suturâ nigrâ.

Long. corp. $\frac{2}{5}$
Elytra nigra, lineis punctorum octo obsoletis, lunulisque rubris, anticâ humerum, posticâ apicem amplectentibus. Pedes nigro-picei.
Obs. This species comes very near to the genus Erotylus, in general habit and the structure of the tarsi.

## Genus HELOTA. Nobis.

Antenne vix capitis lougitudine, sub clypeo ad mandibularum basin inserta, undecimarticulata, articulo basilari sub-obconico crasso, secuudo subgloboso, tertio obcouico longiore ; clavâ crassa tomentosá compressâ orbiculari tri-articulatá.
Labrum membranaccum sub clypeo occultum, margine lincari vix apparente.
Mandibula subtrigone, validx, cornex, extus rotundate, apice acute, intus sub-emarginata tennes.
Maxilloe breves, ad basin cornce, subtrigone, apice submembranaceæ, laminate, truncata, subquadrata,

## ANNULOSA JAVANICA.

subquadratæ, ciliatæ: processu dorsali palporum longitudine, basi subcorneo, apice membranaceo, ciliato.
Paljei maxillares articulo primo obconico, secundo pateriformi, tertio vel ultimo præcedentibus simul sumptis fere longiore, subsubulato.
Palpi labiales clavati, vix labio longiores, articulo primo subgloboso, secundo obeonico, tertio vel ultimo maximo crasso cylindrico apice truncato perforato.
Labium membranacemm, medio crassiusculum, apice emarginatum, lobis lateralibus rotundatis ciliatis sub-diaphanis.
Meatum breve, latum, corneum, transverso-quadratum.
Caput horizontalc subtrigonum clypeo antice rotundato. Thorax magnus subquadratus, supra convexiusculus, posticé lobatus. Scutellum minimum. Corpus depressiusculum coxis fere æ隹 dissitis. Tarsi breves quinque-articulati, articulo primo minimo vix conspicuo, secundo tertio et quarto subtus setigeris, ultimo aliis simul sumptis longiore infra longitudinaliter fossulato, fossulâ ad apicem inter ungues in processum setis duabus instructum desinente.
Genus Buprestidarum habitu quodammodo fruens.
86. İzonsit. H. supra viridicueus punctatus, thorace eminentiis lavissimis, elytris geminatim striatis: litura mediâ flavo-bimaculatâ.

Long. corp. $\frac{10}{10}$.
Caput viridiæncum, antice et ad latera punctatissimum, oculis albis, collo subtus testaceo, antennis basi piceis apicem versus nigrioribus, et clavæ obscuræ articulo ultimo rufescente. Thorax viridiæneus, punctatus, lineis duabus mediis postice confluentibus, et maculis duabus lateralibus nigris nitidissimis cminentibus. Scutellum nigrum. Llytra viridiænea, striis punctorum geminatis, et latera versus lineis elevatis; liturâ medià longitudinali nigrâ maculis duabus magnis flavis lævioribus insigni. Corpus totum subtus testaceum nitidum. Pedes testacei geniculis et unguibus nigris.

Hac species ab amico tam rei entomologica perito quam studioso N. A. Vigors Armigero nomen mutuatur.
Obs. This insect presents perhaps one of the most curious and novel forms of the whole collection. Its brilliancy and variety of colour, its beauty of sculpture and its similarity at first sight to the Linncan genus Buprestis, altogether render it a most extraordinary insect to be placed among the Necrophaga: yet it caunot be doubted that the true place of this curions insect is in this stirps. The only other insects to which it bears any similarity are the Buprestidec, and it will prove perlaps, by reason of the strong relation of analogy which it bears to this gronpe, most useful in shewing their place in their own tribe. That it does not, however, belong to the Bumestide clearly appears from its horizontal head, the lateral insertion of its clavate antennax, the structure of the lower surface of its body, and above all from its organs of manducation. In all these particnlars, on the other hand, it agrees with the general characters of the Necrophuga, some of which, such as the genus Languria, display a similar brilliancy of colour, and a form even more longitudinal. Near to this genus, therefore, and to Dacne I conceive Helotaligorsii to come, since it also agrees with the latter in the form of its antenme and structure of month. The feet nevertheless are constructed differently from those of both these genera, for although our insect is with still greater difficulty detected to be pentamerons, the minute evanescent joint
is not as with Dacne and Languria the fourth but the first. Languria and the insects inmediately allied to it differ from the typical characters which I have ventured to attribute to the aberrant groupe of Necrophuga, inasmuch as the clava of their antenne is often composed of more than three joints and sometimes even of five. Helota, however, as before said, has its antennæ and mouth similarly constructed with those of the more typical insects of the stirps or at least with Dacne, to which it is much nearer allied than to Engis.

The dorsal process of the maxilla is also in this genus beantifully distinct, and even presents a trace of being articulated. This circumstance of itself as well as the number of joints in the palpi separates Helota from the Bupresticle, and places it in this family, for although other families in other tribes, as I have before shewn, may analogically present the bilobed maxilla, and thus approach to the typical strueture of that organ, the pieces of the maxilla in all the Buprestide, which I have dissected, are confluent and indeed present a very miform character wholly different from that of our insect. Moreover the typical character of the maxillary palpi in Coleoptera is that they are quadri-articulate, but in the Necrophaga generally as well as in our insect, the first joint is evanescent, so that such palpi may be described as tri-articulate in which respect they differ wholly from those of the Buprestide.

## Genus LANGURIA. Lat.

This gemus was established by M. Latreille on the examination of an insect, L. bicolor, which was brought from North America by M. Bosc. The gemns, however, has not been hitherto properly characterized, since under a high leus it appears tw be truly pentamerous, the penultimate joint of the tarsi being very minnte, as in Engis. The validity of M. Latreille's generic character will, therefore, depend on his description of the clava of the antemm, which he considers as consisting of five articulations-a description which, if true, will exclude all the following Javanese species from the gemus. The fact seems to be, that Languriu is divisible into several subgenera, which may be made to depend on the form of the antenne. Thus from the West Indies and Brazil, we have Langurice with short antenne, and a very thick chava composed of five joints; while from the continent of Iudia, we have such species as Languria elongata Lat. 'Trogositu elongata Fab.), which have long filiform antenur, with a very indistinct attematel clava, consisting of three joints. The form of L. hicolor Lat. seems common w Asia aud America. Nevertheless as my object is not to make new genera, but to render new species sutficiently known, I shall leave the following species in the genus Laxsuria, of which I reckon the principal characters to be its linear body, clavate antenne, filiform maxillary palpi, and evanescent fourth joint of the tarsus.
87. Pyravidata. L. nufa thoracis punctis tribus nigris, capite elytrisque viridi-ancis, antennis chalybcis femoribusque testaceis.

## Long. corp. $\frac{3}{5}$

Caput supra viridiæneum subtus nigrum, antennis chalybeis: clavà quadriarticulatâ. Thorax rufus margine antico et postico, puncto medio, alioque utrinque laterali nigris. Scutellum nigrum. Elytra pyramidata viridiænca nitida striis punctorum impressa. Abdomen sublineare pyramidatum vel apicem versus gradatim attenuatum, subtus convexum rufum; ano viridiæneo. Pedes chalybei coxis nigris, femoribusque, geniculis exceptis, rufis.

Obs. A Javanese species described by Wiedemann under the name of $L$. splendens comes very near to this species, and lis Languria tripunetata, a Bengal insect, searcely differs from it except in size and in its feet being altogether of a greenish black colour.
88. Morio. L. nigro-nitida, eapite thoraeeque punctatis, hoe fossulis duabus linearibus, clytris atro-ceneis puncto-striatis.

Long. corp. $\frac{2}{20}$
Caput nigrum punctatum labro piceo antennisque nigris. Thorax quadratus, punctatus, fossulis duabus brevibus posticis longitudinalibus impressus. Scutellum nigrum. Elytra atroxnea striis seprem punctorum impressa. Corpus subtus pedesque nigri.
89. TESTAEEA. L. nitida, elytris punctato-striatis, antennis pedibusque nigris, eoxis femoribusque ad basin ferrugineis.

## Long. corp. fere $\frac{5}{10}$

Inscctum supra ferrugineum. Caput subpunctatum, articulo antennarum basilari subferrugineo. Thorax lævissimus. Elytra vix obscuriora striis octo punctorum impressis. Corpus subtus ferrugineum.
Obs. As insect which Fabricius describes from Sumatra, under the name of Trogosita filiformis (Syst. Eleuth. 1, 152, 12), comes very near to the above species; indeed only differs from it, according to the description there given, in that it has the elytra smooth. This, however, may be an error of Fabricius, as the insect I have described above, under the name of Languria testacea, appears to be widely dispersed, and occurring in my father's cabinet from the continent of India, seems not unlikely to be also a native of Sumatra.
This insect elearly shews that Cerylon and other genera of similar structure are most erroneously placed by Latreille with the Bostrielider, for it forms a most complete transition from Languria to Cerylon.

## Gemes MEGAUCHENLA. Nobis.

Antenne capite subduplo longiores, thorace tamen breviores, undecim-articulate, articulo secmudo crasso obconico, tertio brevi, quarto longo, reliquis ad clavam brevibus, subglobosis ; clavâ orbiculari compressâ triarticulatâ.
Latbrum exertum, transersum, corneum, apice bilobum, angulis rotundatis.
Mundibulc vix exertæ, depressæ, trigonæ, extus incurvæ, apice acutissimæ intus midentate, et basin versus ciliate.
Naxillce basi cornce processu apicali magno membranaceo falciformi, intus ciliate, processu dorsali inconspicno.
Palpi maxillares triarticulati, articulis basilaribus brevibus, obconicis, articulo ultimo oblongo ovali, apice subulato, precedentibns simul sumptis longiore.
Palpi labiales brevissimi articnlo basilari inconspicuo, secmado et tertio obconicis.
Labium membranaceub, apice bilobum, lobis subacutis.
Mentum breve, cornenm, basi retusum, apiee subtrigonum.
Corpus elongato-quadratum, fere parallelopipedum, depressinsculum. Thorax quadratus longior quam latior, ab abdomine pedunculo nullo disjunctus. Elytra corpore breviora.

Tibice ad apicem latiores, extùs denticulatie. Tarsi articulis quinque primis subpulvillatis vel apice setigeris, articulo quarto minimo.

Obs. Deguachenia is a difficult genus, but appears to have astrong aflinity to Languriu and to Cerylon, indeed principally differs from M. Latreille's description of this last, according to what I have been able to observe, in having the clava of the antenne of three distinct joints with the labrum emarginate, and, in being pentamerous, in which last respect it agrees with Languria. I smspect, however, that the Xylophagi of Latreille (which at present form a most artificial assemblage) are in general pentamerous, and that it is merely owing to the mimteness of the fouth joint, and to the small size of the insects themselves, that they have been placed by the entomologists of the French school between the Limean genera Curculio and Cerandyx-groupes between which the tratusition is immediate and perfect. "Natura opifex rerum, non fucit saltus."
It is in this stirps, as has been before said, that we find the maxille recede the farthest from their typical form; it is in this stirps therefore that of the whole tribe we find the most herbivorous insects. This is curious, certainly, but corresponds with an observation to be inade on the herbivorous tribes of Coteoptera, namely, that where in an herbivorous groupe such as the Petalocera, we meet with a family such as the Trogidce endued with an appetite for animal matter, we find its maxilla approaching in structure to those of the Adephuga, or at least to be furnished with two processes.
90. Setipenvis. M. atropicus, capite thoraceque subsetigero punctato-striatis: striis alternation setigeris.

$$
\text { Long. corp. } \frac{3}{10}
$$

Caput clypeo anticé marginato, antennis piceis clavâ tomentosî. Thorax marginatus lateribus rugosulis. Elytra punctorum seriebus striata setisque brevibus spiniformibus instructa. Corpus subtus Pedesque picei. Tarsi sub-pulvillati.

## Gemus SYLVANUS. Lat. dermestes Lin. Fab.

This genus is said to have some relation to Trogosita, but on the nature and value of such relation, I an not at present able to offer any tlecided opinion. It may, however, be observed, that M. Latreille has described Silvamus as having two processes to the maxillx, and Trogositu as possessing only one. Such a remark may lead ns to suspeet a relation between Sitvumus and the Cucujide, which is not improbable; but however this may be, I repeat that a great portion of doubt must still hang over this arrangement of Latreille's Nylophagi, inasmach as we know not how many of them truly belong to the stipps of Necrophaga. No greater service can indeed be rendered to this part of entomology than by accurately dissecting these minute insects, of which so many genera and species are to be found in England; I duestion, however, whether it may be advisable to attempt at present a natural arrangement of them, becanse so few of the exotic species are known, and such wide chasms appear between several of the known genera.
91. Denticulatus. S. fuscus, thorace crenato punctulato: lineis tluabus impressis, elytris punctato-striatis, antemnarum clavâ quadriarticulatâ.

Long. corp. $\frac{7}{40}$.
Insectum $S$. sexdentato majus. Caput clypeo punctulato utrinque ante oculos unidentato antennis fuscis.

Thorax lateribus sexdentatis, dorso subcarinato, fossulà utrinque longitudinali anticé posticéque profundiore. Elytra pallidiora punctorum seriebus striata. Corpus fuscum pedibus fuscis.
Obs. The Dermestes sexdentates of Fabricius, which is the same with his Colydium frumentarium and the Ips frumentaria of Olivier, but which appears different from the Corticaria fromenturin of the Entomologia Britamica, comes so very near to our species that I have little donbt of its food being analogous. It probably infests rice, as other species of the genus are found in moist sugar.

## Gemus TriboliUM, Nobis. Colydium Herbst.

Antenne undecim-articulate, sub clypeo ad mandibularum basin insertæ, articulis basilaribus octo globosis sub-eqqualibus, apicem versus vix crassioribus, tribus ultimis clavam laxam efformantibus; articulis nono et decimo subpateriformibus, ultimo transverso ovali.
Os sub clypeo plamo transverso ad latera rotnndato absconditum.
Oculi clypeo fere cincti. Thorax transverso-quadratus submarginatus. Corpnes depressinsculmm sublineare. Tarsi articulis quinque.
Obs. This gemus appears closely allied to Colydium and also to bave some sort of relation to Colobicus. From the latter it differs in the antenne and form of body; from the former in the form of head and in being pentamerous. I am indeed inctined to think that the Colylium rufum of Latreille (Gen. Insect. et Crust. Vol.3. p. 21.) belongs to this genus, if it be not identical with onr species; but the description of the Colydium mufum by this entomologist is too vague to admit of certainty on the subject.

As the specimen is unique in the collection of the East-India Company, I have been under the necessity of contenting myself with a generic description founded on external characters alone.

Herbst has very justly remarked the strong connexion which exists between this genus and Dermestes, and there is indeed no doubt of its belonging to the stirps of Necrophaga, as its larva searcely differs from that of Dermestes except in not being so hirsute.
92. Castaneum. T. ferrugincum, capite thoraceque sudilissimé punctatis, elytris punctato-striatis.

Colydium castaneum Herbst. 7. 282. tab. 112. fig. 13. E.
An Colydizm rufum, Lat. Gen. Ins. et Crust. vol. iii. p. 21?
An Colydium rufum, Fab. Syst. Eleuth. 2. 557. 11 ?
Trogosita ferruginea, Fab. Syst. Eleuth. 1. 155. 23.
Ips testacea, Fab. Ent. Syst. Suppl. 179. 14?
Synonymia Trogositre ferruginea apud Fabricium corrigenda, antennarum enim clava in Lycto nazali Ent. Syst. 1. 2. 504. 10, est biarticulata.

Long. corp. $\frac{7}{40}$.
Clypeus ante oculos depressus angulis truncatis. Antennce apice pallidiores. Thorax fossulà utrinque ad marginem posticum impressâ. Elytra seriebus punctorum inter strias duabus obscuré impressa. Corpus subtus obscuro-piceum pedibus rufis.
Obs. This insect is by Fabricius stated to be most destructive to rice, that is if it be the Ips testacea of the Supplement, hut of this I have great doubts, as the description is so loose and vague that it might snit a Sylvamus. I have, bowever, found the Tribolium rufum alive among insects from India, and according to Herbst it is very destructive in such situations, he having received
received it as, he says, an uninvited guest in a collection of insects from the East-Indies. Fabricius says of his Trogosita forruginea " Habitat in Indiâ utrâque destruens animalia in Museis asservata, panem, aliaque." The name of Xylophagi given to this groupe of insects by Latreille, seems indeed to be oue of the most inappropriate that he conld have chosen, since I do not know that there is any ascertained instance of a species devouring wood. He grouped them, however, with the Bostrichida, to which they have little or no immediate affinity, and which are true Xylophagi. Many of the present insects indeed are to be found under bark, but this residence may be owing to their taste for the fungi and dead animal matter which usually abound in such situations. Those with the habits of which we are acquainted devour fruits, corn, and decayed animal matters. Thus the celebrated Degeer ascertained that his Tenebrion du lard, which is a species of Latridius, in its larva state devours bacon. The figure and deseription of this larva proves satisfactorily that these insects are properly placed among the Necrophagu and near the Dermestide. There appears moreover to be a strong relation of analogy between the form of Latridius and certain species of the contiguous stirps of Philhydrida, such as for instance the Mydrence among the Elophoridae.
The Tribolinm castaneum is often to be found in collections as an English insect, but is only, as I suspect, a visitor of our island.

## Fam. 5. DERMESTID $\not$.

That this family was in the opinion of Limmes closely connected with the last, sufficiently appears from the following sentence in his Biga Insectorum, "Uude patet genera insectorum nova admodum esse rara, nisi ante cognita quispiam vellet separata ut Hydroum a Dytiscis, Ipsidem a Dermestibus." In several gencra of the last family the mandibles are short and thick, concealed under the clypens, and in these insects the mandibles are always of this construction.

Herbst las giveu an excellent magnified figure of the larva of the common Dermestes and this figure sufficiently proves that we are here at the very extremity of Chilopodiform larver. It is indeed from this family that we proceed to the neighbouring tribe of Chilognathiform larve.

Genus DERMESTES. Lin.
93. Vulpinus. D. niger subtus albidus capite thoracisque lateribus cincrco-villosis, scutello testacco-villoso, elytris submurinis.
Dermestes vulpinus. Fab. Syst. Eleuth. 1. 314. 12.
Long. corp. $\frac{7}{20}$.
Obs. This destructive inseet appears to be very generally dispersed over the old world. It is at least too common in France, the whole of the south of Europe, Africa, aud India. In my father's collection there is also one marked as from Cayeune. The nbiquity, however, of such insects as these which inhabit skins, \&c. may be owing to their attendance on man.

## Genus CHELONARIUMI. Fab.

94. Villosum. C, nigropiceum nitidum subpunctatum, clytris substriatis, tarsis rufescentibus, antemnarum articulis ultimis pallidis.

Long. corp. $\frac{1}{4}$.
Iusectum totum villo denso cinereo obtectum.

Obs. The occurrence in Java of genera like this, hitherto supposed to be peciliar to America, is a circumstance important in entomological gcography, and which we shall frequently have occasion to allude to. In the mean time I shall observe that the antenne of the only specimen in the East-India Company's collection have lost their last joints, having only two of that setiform part which so singularly distinguishes this genus from all others known. (Vide Lat. Gen. Ins. et Crust. Vol. 2. p.44:) Such antenne agree in scarcely any respect with those of other Chitopodomorpha, and I am therefore by no means convincel of the propricty of placing this insect here, and must consider the matter as undecided until a more accurate investigation shall have been made from an unmutilated specimen.

## Stirps 5. BRACHELYTRA. Lat.

It is a singular circumstance that no insect of this stirps, which is the same as the Linncan genus Steplaylinus was collected by Dr. Horsfield. This at all events proves the extreme rarity of such insects in Java. Of their existence in the island l have no doubt, since they have been brought both from New Holland and the Continent of India, and it would therefore be remarkable did they not occur in the intervening islands. When it is considered that the British species of this stirps are so nmmerous, it appears very extraordinary that not one should have occurred in Java. But ins this, as in all other tropical climates, the surface of the earth is almost exclusively occupied by ants, and according to Dr. Horsfield, where the common ants are not found the Termites or white ants possess the territory. These two tribes, which are constantly at war, or rather, which clear away and destroy each other as their numbers respectively predominate, bave in a great measure divided the surface of the island among themselves. From their incredible numbers, particularly of the common ant, little is left on the surface for other insects. Swarming on every spot, and incessantly in motion, they attack aud devour whaterer animal matter they meet with in a much shorter period than would be thought possible by it person who had not witnessed the fact. But nevertheless whenever in his excursions Dr. Horsfield obsered the carcase of any animal, he and his assistants carefully examined it, and from the care they took in such labours, he is convinced that had Silphide, Staphylinidec and such cartion-feeding families of insects occurred in any tolerable abundance, they conld searcely have escaped his researches. With respect to such genera of Brachelytra as inhabit flewers, he scarcely conceives, had they been common, that they could have eseaped him, as he was in constant habit of collecting on plants and flowers.

In the third volume of the Regrae Animul, M. Latreille has divided his groupe of Bruchelytres into four sections, which he terms Fissitabres, Longipalpes, Applutis and Microcephales, all of which are apparently matmal groupes. Now if to these we add his grand division of Dimerouinsects, we have the whole of the Brechelytra, which may therefore be arranged thes:

## Brachelytha.


$\left\{\begin{array}{lll}\text { 5. Tuchyporidar, } & \text { vel } & \text { Microcephales Lat. } \\ \text { 4. Psclaphida, Leach } & \text { vel } & \text { Ihimera Lat. } \\ \text { 3. Omalide, } & \text { vel } & \text { Applatis Lat. }\end{array}\right.$
$\left\{\begin{array}{lll}\text { 2. Sicnida, } & \text { vel } & \text { I.onginalpes Lat. } \\ \text { 1. Striphylinida, } & \text { vel } & \text { Fissilabres Lat. }\end{array}\right.$

The apparently dimerous tarsi of the $P$ selaphide are not of themselves alone sufficient to throw these insects out of the stirps, for we may perceive the articulations of the tarsi to disappear in Oxytelus and several genera of the neighbouring family, which the Tarsal System with itnsual inconsistency, places widely apart from the Pselaphida.

From the Omalide by means of the genus Lesteva, we return to the Geodephaggi into which stirps we enter by Lebia and other of the Brachinida, a family of which the distinguishing or typical character depends on an approach to the short truncated elywa of the Brathelytru. In Lesteva, moreover, and such other genera of this stirps as come nearest to the Geodephagu, the outer proeess of the maxilla is slender and palpiform. So it is that, whether nature be regarded at the root or at the extreme brauches of her tree, we always find her pursuing the same plan, and constautly displaying as much unity as beauty.


[^0]:    *For this and the following examples, the rcaler may consult the figures given in the first part of the HoraEntomologica.
    † Mydrophitii Lat. Gen. Ins. et Crust, vol. ii. p. 6?.
    $\pm$ Kirby, Lin. Transact. vol. 14, p. i. p. 100.
    § The terms applied by M. Fries to such groupes, viz. centric and radiant, I have not thought proper to adopt, for reasons that will be found at Iength in the Transactions of the Linnean Society, Vol, 14, p. .at.

[^1]:    * Genus Platychile Nobis, Minticora Fab.

[^2]:    M.S. Josephi Smoli, M. 1). nutura indagatoris peritissimi.

